

A  
COMPREHENSIVE  
GRAMMAR  
OF THE  
ENGLISH  
LANGUAGE

Randolph Quirk  
Sidney Greenbaum  
Geoffrey Leech  
Jan Svartvik

Index by David Crystal



Longman  
London and New York









# 1 The English language

1.1–11	The English language today	2
1.1–2	The importance of English	2
2–3	Themes of English	2
4	Academic and general language	4
5	Foreign language	4
6–7	The demand for English	5
8	The teaching of English	6
7	Educational goals of English	7
8	The International Movement of English	7
9–11	The future of English	8
11	Predictions of English	10
1.12–13	Grammar and the study of language	11
12–13	Types of linguistic organization	11
13	Words and spellings	11
13	Lexicology, semantics, morphology, pragmatics	11
14–15	The meaning of grammar	12
14	Syntax and inflections	12
15	Rules and the null hypothesis	12
16	The restriction of rules	12
17	Prescriptive grammar	14
18	Grammatical categories of English	14
1.16–21	Varieties of English	15
16	Types of variation	15
16–17	Regional variation	15
17	Social variation	17
17	Standard English	18
18–20	Outboard standardised English	19
18	British and American English	18
19	Singapore, Ireland, Canada	20
20	South Africa, Australia, New Zealand	21
21	Pronunciation and standard English	22
22	Criteria for varieties of English	23
23–25	Varieties according to register	23
23–25	Varieties according to context	24
24–25	Varieties according to communication	27
26	Varieties and prestige	28
26–28	Relationship among variety types	27

## The English language today

### The Importance of English

- 1.1 English is generally acknowledged to be the world's most important language. It is perhaps even growing rapidly at the expense of other languages. There are, after all, thousands of different languages in the world, and each will undoubtedly hope to be able to do what it does best: to help people, in its language, they acquired as their mother's tongue. But there are more objective standards of relative importance.

One criterion is the number of speakers of the language. A second is the extent to which a language is geographically dispersed. A third criterion is the amount of research and knowledge of it possessed. A third is the historical, social, and economic role of the language. The fourth is the "prestige" of the language, as judged by the standards of highly valued cultural models. A fifth is the educational and political importance of the native speakers of the language.

- 1.2 If we measure the first criterion in terms of speakers of the language, the number in question is about 300 million for English, and only about 100 million for Chinese (which has one-third as many first speakers of English). The second criterion, the geographical dispersion of the language, is also significant, with first speakers of English, Spanish, and Arabic concentrated in major world regions, Englishly Arabic for a substantial number of speakers. For the spread of English, over most of the world as an international language is a unique phenomenon. In the world's largest cities, 100 million first speakers live over 20% of the world's population. It will be some time before English becomes official status. But of the other languages, it is the dominant native language. By the third criterion, the great literature of the Chinese writing system, the history of the languages of Egypt, Greece, Hebrew, and Sanskrit. But in addition to being the language of the Renaissance, Shakespeare, English literature, the primary medium for the transmission of science and technology. The fourth criterion, the historical, social, and economic importance of the language of power, production, and influence matters. But English is the language of the United States, whose population in 1960 was over 100 million. It is the most important, Japan.

History has been made for the importance of English on the grounds of its quality as a language (the size of its vocabulary, its extreme lack of inflection, the alleged flexibility of its syntax). The desire for international language, or lingua franca, is never based on linguistic or scientific criteria. It always is political, economic, and demographic.

### The use of English

- 1.3 English is used worldwide as a second language. A common mother tongue. English is the language of the United States and the English-speaking world. It is the language of the United States, the United Kingdom, Canada, and Australia. It is the language of the United States, the United Kingdom, Canada, and Australia. It is the language of the United States, the United Kingdom, Canada, and Australia. It is the language of the United States, the United Kingdom, Canada, and Australia.

1.1-1.2	Yazawa, Ichiro & survey
1.4	Almanac in translation
1.42	Acceptability and emergency
	R1-English-based notes





- 18 In some contexts it is considered appropriate to distinguish the role played by the number of hours of study of English lessons. In 1975, an official world-wide study of 200 schools of boys, 15–17 years old, taught English. The actual comparison was made in 1976 and showed that:
- (i) The positive balance of English, but not of any other foreign or other language (French, Spanish, Latin or German) is unique in that the range of its teaching and the amount of accepted English texts (English texts read and less translated) has their maximum in the school-leaving level.

#### The demand for English

##### The teaching of English

- 19 The role of *deixis* (foreign language that French occupies for two centuries from about 1700) has been assumed by English (except of course in the English-speaking countries themselves, where French is still the United States) Spanish is the foreign language most widely studied. Although government obliges international organizations to devote far more resources to translation and interpreter services than would strictly be demanded, no study just would be offered to a candidate deficient in English. The general equivalent of the international language English is the living school in French or perhaps the English-speaking world organized through the British Council's schools and study centres there seem to be even more common in the Soviet Union and other East European countries than in countries to the West. There are also considerable commercial incentives for teaching English or other foreign languages, both to non-English-speaking countries and in English-speaking countries. Most language-teaching centres, take place in the primary sector of the national educational system.

The extent to which English is studied at the school level is shown in our analysis of the educational statistics for 112 countries where English is not a native language, but is either a foreign language or a second language. Our study confirms that over 70 million primary school students and over 21 million secondary school students were in English classes in the early 1970s. These figures represent over 12 per cent of the primary school population and over 25 per cent of the secondary school population for those countries. It is significant that English was the medium of instruction for 27 per cent of the primary school students in Germany (8 per cent of the secondary school students). Estimated figures would have been the higher if statistics for all non-English-speaking countries had been included. It is notable also that from the study area the People's Republic of China's share of the secondary school population is increasing as a rapid rate in the developing countries, so it is expected that the number of English learners at the secondary level has increased very considerably since the early 1970s.

Outside the primary and secondary schools, there are large numbers of students in institutions of higher and further education who are learning English here, usually as preparation for the medium of instruction in a number of language-speaking countries; not areas to which strictly said technological, professional, or scientific English teachers, not areas, or increasingly, to improve viable chances of employment or promotion in such areas as the tourism, trade, international commerce, or international programmes for education or research, or, of course, where it is a foreign language required

to be used as the medium for higher education, or even for scientific and technological subjects, even when it is not so used in the primary or secondary levels.

Many students come from abroad for their higher and further education in English-speaking countries, where English is of course the medium for their studies. In 1975, there were 230,940 foreign students enrolled at the post-secondary level of education in the United States, and 611 in the United Kingdom, and 22,148 in Canada (where English is the medium for French-speaking institutions), apart from smaller numbers in other English-speaking countries. The country with the next largest figure after the United States was France, which had 113,043 foreign students in the same year.

##### Second varieties of English

- 20 In countries where English is predominant the native language, the form of written English taught in the schools is usually the *standard variety* (p. 127), the variety considered to be the highest variety of the language in the country. However, it is not necessarily that in all cases the medium is aimed to make the local spoken variety conform with such 'standard' spoken forms.

In countries where English is a *contact language*, the major means for both writing and speech has generally been the standard variety of British and American English. The choice between them has depended on various factors: whether the country was formerly British or a US colony; its proximity to America or the United States; which of the two had most influenced its economic, cultural or scientific development; and local commercial or political interests. In some countries both American and British standard varieties are taught, sometimes in different institutions, sometimes in the same institutions.

The situation has been changing in those countries where English is a second language, used especially for international purposes in the absence of a commonly accepted national language. In countries such as India and Nigeria independent educated students are becoming multilingual and are acquiring useful receptivity. In the meantime, teachers in those countries are uncertain, at best, about the norms in which their teaching should be based: to the world the way they local standard or to those of some selected standard. Such uncertainties are analogous to the uncertainties among teachers in native English countries over dialect usage in 'prestige' or 'general' varieties from their own usage (p. 127).

Where English is a *foreign language*, we may expect the American and British standard varieties to continue to be the major models, competing increasingly with the general varieties of other countries such as Australia, in regions where there is the special influence of those countries.

- 21 Considerable attention is being given to the ways in which national languages are taught in schools in order to teach them in a way which does not reflect in certain ways.

- The British national character of English  
 22 English is particularly the most important of languages. Through the form of the language may also be derived as of England, or as may be derived

the language with the United States, one of the world's superpowers. English continues to perform a political or official purpose that any other living tongue (Spanish and French being the notable exceptions). At one and the same time, English serves the daily purposes of regions such as the United States and West Africa, among different classes, populations, climates, religions, and national philosophies; and it serves as a common resource as the United Kingdom, as well as the widely scattered Commonwealth partners, themselves at different times each other as they are from Britain herself.

But the cultural neutrality of English must not be pushed too far. The formal or metaphorical use of such expressions as *common law* throughout the English-speaking world reflects a common heritage in the legal system; and although their geographical distribution is uneven, the Authorized (or King James) Version of the Bible, George Eliot's *Silmarillion*, a one-volume, 60-page volume, by a poet-linguist-writer, or not—suffice amply to a shared culture. The *Canterbury Tales* have its *Chaucer* reading of 'romantic' fables inside United States and even in Australia and New Zealand. At other times, English equally reflects the temperament and distinct culture of one or other of the English-speaking communities. When an Australian quote of *Swainson's* bushy bird (describing an accident), the metaphorical look to the dogmatic activity of describing the dipping of someone else in the hope of doing what they just have now said. When an American quote of *not guilty to find her* (from a famous criminal case), the metaphor contains an equally emblematic virtue—the quest of freedom. And when an Englishman says that something is *not a bit of a bit*, the situation of *also to a quite* that is by no means serious in the English-speaking countries.

#### The future of English

- 1.9 **Providence—etymology**—can see much about the future of English. It suggests a prediction of the future for the English-speaking world, to the extent that it is of English.

A single international language has not been thought of as feasible for international communication. Artificially-concocted languages have never required sufficiently large numbers of adherents, although in principle, world languages have the obvious advantage that through all borders will ensure mutual understanding and reciprocal speaking, thereby the giving of advantage to speakers of any particular language. During the last few decades English has come closest to being the single international language, having satisfied a greater world-wide than any other language (in recorded times). Yet in many years efforts have failed. It will ever remain the idea of the single international language or, indeed, whether or not as an international language will survive in the present form.

One reason for the doubt has been the fact that national varieties of English are rapidly growing further apart and will finally separate into mutually incomprehensible languages. There have also been opinions that, probably inevitably, the world's fight to establish a *Common Progress* and secondarily or thirdly within a national variety might lead to the abandonment of a national member dialect and hence to the further

disintegration of English. The diversity in variety is greatest in countries where English is a second language and therefore has to be taught. Since in these countries students are usually taught by teachers who are themselves not native speakers of English and who have usually acquired the language by varying degrees of ill-usage, it is not surprising that the standards of the conventional variable and subject to change. Some express concern about the English language's stability and the ill-empirical control of the language in such situations. Some fear the disintegration of the emerging world into about a million, which no longer have to adhere to strict or for standards of acceptability.

- 1.10 While fears for the disintegration of English cannot be over-stated, however, possible future developments in progress do not seem to be. Despite considerable regional differences with a few national varieties, the standard systems have preserved the essential stability of the common standard. The widespread English system generally ignores both the change in pronunciation and the use of the present progressive through space, despite its regional variation, it is a striking fact in world English. Many factors are continuing to maintain differences in national varieties from the past common standard. First, the influence of regional, regional, and local on the common standard and of code, dialectal, and style on the common standard. Teachers and students can be made available to, and to, the common standard, and national membership systems can be made flexible enough to take account of variation. Despite a growing awareness of international variation in speech, standard forms remain the norm for written English.

The future of English as an international language has also been cast in terms of the possibility of teaching English as a second language, especially in the context of the level required for international usefulness. Given the enormous expenditures required for the purpose, it is possible that as developing countries become richer they will be able to attain the expenditure on the teaching of English and raise the levels of local and global proficiency. A number of programs have been devised to train the professional language learners, thereby allowing a more realistic deployment of educational resources in the teaching of English for Specific Purposes, the acronym for business or technical communication. Following earlier attempts (such as *Basic English*) that were largely based on a proposal to also recently been made for a simplified, simplified form of English (known as *Basic English*) that would be a subset of the features of standard English, for example, *Basic English* and *Basic English* would be based on a simplified form of English, to be used in and by itself. The simplified form would be restricted to speakers of any major national variety and could be designed for specific purposes, for example for international scientific communication.

The long-term maintenance of English as a second language is also questionable in some countries. The arguments for world-wide standardization conflict with the movements for the establishment of national or regional varieties. The movements for the establishment of national or regional varieties for the replacement by native languages are expressions of national pride and independence. Since a great amount of English is usually preferred to an alternative, the support of national varieties is a realistic



that is our primary concern in this book. Words used to be classified into *linguistic units* and *grammar components* to describe an of rules specifying both construction and meaning relations in the linguistic system are the business of *descriptive*, the study of structure and *descriptive grammar* for reference equally within lexicology and within grammar. Finally, the function of linguistic operations when viewed within particular types of situation is also within lexicology, which is concerned with the communicative focus of linguistic structures. Two words are employed for the interpretation of grammar and the uses of grammar: *LINGUISTIC GRAMMAR* and *GRAMMAR ANALYSIS*. All types of operation (that is, lexicology and grammar) were into the structure of *TEXT*, which includes spelling and writing (see also § Chapter 10).

**The meanings of 'grammar'**

*Spelling and lexicology*

- 4. The word 'grammar' has various meanings, and since grammar is the subject-matter of this book we should explore the most common meanings of the word. We shall be using 'grammar' to include both the old and the new sense of 'grammar' (the former or 'old' sense) they do not refer to the same thing (see also § 10.1). The fact that the two senses of the word are different (and the fact that the two senses of the word are different) is not the point. The point is that the two senses of the word are different. There is nothing technical about this. It is possible to use the word 'grammar' to refer to both the old and the new sense of the word in the English-speaking world. A teacher may comment:

John uses good grammar but his spelling is awful.

The comment shows that spelling is regarded as separate from grammar, and if John were just later to have his grammar corrected, the teacher would say that he had used the wrong word, not that he had made a mistake in grammar. But in the situation of some of the English-speaking countries, it is possible also to use the word 'grammar' to refer to the old sense of the word.

There is a further, special 'grammar' that derives from a period in which the writing of Latin and Greek was widespread. Since the source of Latin grammar on which teaching has traditionally depended is the grammar for students of 'classical' languages, it made sense for the learners to say:

Latin has a good deal of grammar, but English has hardly any.

This meaning of 'grammar' has continued to be used by lexicographers. In effect, *grammar* is identified with *inflections*, so that responsibilities may well mean of 'grammar and syntax', largely excluding the latter from the former.

- 5. The new sense of the word 'grammar' (English-speaking countries) is a language with reference to the use of rules of construction. It is the study of the structure and organization of the language. It is the study of the structure and organization of the language. It is the study of the structure and organization of the language.

*Reference and the native speaker*

- 5. The word 'grammar' has a number of meanings. The main sense of 'grammar', being the one that is most familiar to the native speaker, is the study of the structure and organization of the language.

French has a well-known grammar, but in English we're free to speak as we like.

The native speaker is aware that the speaker is not just talking about 'grammar' in the sense of 'inflections'; rather the converse one; it would seem to be used as a direct synonym of 'syntax'.

Secondly, the native speaker's attitude probably gives good reason to the fact that he does not feel the need of his own language rules that he has acquired (perhaps partly as a result of acquiring) and if ever he happens to be asked to explain one such rule for a foreign language, he usually, if not always, the grammatical rules he learned for a foreign language seem much more important and they also seem clearer because they have been usually spelled out in a book in the learning process.

But another important point is revealed by this sentence. The distinction between 'grammar' and 'syntax' is not a matter of fact in the use of French but is a matter of fact in the use of English. It is the fact that the Académie Française is not the French themselves who they themselves should be used. This is not grammar 'grammar' in a language (or one grammar) but grammar 'grammar' in a language (or one grammar) but grammar 'grammar' in a language (or one grammar). There is no such Academy for the English language and so the native speaker does not feel the need of his own language rules 'grammar' in his language.

*The codification of rules*

- 6. The 'codification' sense of 'grammar' is readily identified with the specific codification by a specific grammarian:

Chomsky wrote a good grammar, and so did Kimmins.

And this sense naturally 'mixes' with the sense used in 4:

Did you bring your grammar?

Naturally, too, the codification may refer to grammar in any of the senses already mentioned. The codification of inflections, however, remains in the domain of the study of the language, but the study of the structure and organization of the language (or one grammar) is a matter of fact in the use of English.

Chomsky wrote a grammatical grammar that differed significantly from other grammars.

In the usage of many learning languages, the word 'grammar' has a number of meanings that it has in the Greek tradition: that is, 2000 years ago, meaning one whole field of language structure. Thus, in the tradition of Greek linguistics, the word 'grammar' has a number of meanings, and not only in the sense of 'inflections' (syntax) and grammar (structure) as well.

- 7. Another field of study is the study of the structure and organization of the language.





number (although, of course, it is a mixture of the frequency with which certain forms occur in the general population).

There is an important polarity between regional and general usage in which the former can be identified with the words of one regional dialect more completely than the latter can do away from regional roots to a form of English that may appear regionalised. To return to an example given in a previous section, to describe someone as a 'stuffed shirt' might not mean that a New Englander who *is* a stuffed shirt, a Britisher who *is* a stiff neck, and a Virginian who *is* a stiff neck. These are forms that tend to be replaced by more local variants, and to result in a stronger dialect accent, which would tend to give 'stuffed shirt' on the other hand, there is an simple equation of regional and standard English. Just to illustrate: English, *I am quite happy to meet her because, so to say, because of unaccused one: a prominent example is the double negative as in I don't know no one, which has been outlawed from all educated English by the prescriptive grammar movement for over two hundred years but which continues to flourish as an English form in uneducated speech wherever English is spoken.*

Standard English naturally tends to give the additional meaning of governmental approval, the professional and professional, the press, the law courts, and the judge – any institution which must attempt to address itself to a public beyond the boundaries of local community. It is codified and formalised, grammatically and syntactically, and is the result of the school system at all levels. It is almost exclusively the language of printed matter. Large-scale education (higher in this country) makes social and political uniformity, it tends to be national in its emphasis, and one provided one remembers that this does not mean a English that has been formally standardised by official means, or written and measured and standardised, the term is useful and appropriate. In contrast with standard English, some dialects especially associated with uneducated (rather than educated) but are generally called non-standard.

2. *Standard English does not mean a standard form of English, but the variety which is the best.*

### Standard English

1. The degree of acceptance of a single standard of English throughout the world, across a multiplicity of political and social systems, is a truly remarkable phenomenon: the more so since, as noted in the introduction, it followed his or something, imposed in the post-war century. Uniformity of greater in a geography, which is fact, also constitutes the most important type of linguistic organisation. Although printing houses in all English-speaking countries make a conscious choice of individual decisions (e.g. *metre* vs *meter*, *justice* vs *justness*), there is basically a single spelling and punctuation system throughout, with two minor exceptions. The one is its antithesis with British grammar (use of *the* and *there* as *the* and *there* other than the United States) and the other is the American preference for *color*, *center*, *forward*, etc. The other is the American preference for *color*, *center*, *forward*, etc. Canadian spelling draws on both systems and is

open to considerable variation. Laurence or formal publications, such as academic journals and school textbooks, prefer British spelling, while popular publications, such as newspapers, prefer American spelling. Individuals may use both variants according to situation, but variations vary. The difference between the American and British arrangements of punctuation is that the former American practice is to put a period after the main clause of a sentence, which are usually placed in American usage at the beginning of a sentence. A higher conference must not have Anglo-American standards, including the numerical form of date, the British (and European) practice of 11/10/65 (11 October 1965), but in American practice means 11/10/65 (11/10/65).

In general, and especially, standard English is a mixture of a number of dialects, but even so the word 'standard' is not only used and has been suggested earlier, since usually in the language under the impact of close word construction and the spread of *Standard* and *non-standard* culture. The uniformity is especially due to national regional style of writing, English in subject matter of almost all kinds, and in the book and literature are the only one to be used after some without constituting a feature which would identify the English as belonging to one of the national standards (p. 115).

### National standards of English

#### British and American English

1. What we are calling national standards, which we mean as neither from the western English, which we have been discussing and which we shall in the following chapters, is a mixture of the two. As in the case with the English, there are two national standards that are unambiguously predominant both in the number of available copies and in the degree to which these dialects are formalised. American English (AmE) and British English (BrE). Grammatical differences are few and the most conspicuous are those in the use of both national standards: the first that will be the *past tense* of *get* and *put*, only one (*got* and *put*), the second, and that in BrE *the singular* of a plural verb may be used with a singular subject as in:

The government  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{is} \\ \text{are} \end{array} \right\}$  in a hurry to commence operations.

whereas in AmE a singular verb is required here. Some prefer *has* (*has*), but are likely to prefer *commenced* for *commence*. For example, AmE may use the singular *is* in a plural subject in contexts where BrE normally requires the plural *are* (see 2.2.1 Note), as in:

Some  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{is} \\ \text{are} \end{array} \right\}$  *interested* in the course work.

And BrE uses *is* in the same context with *is* and *are* (see 2.2.1 Note) and the plural *are* (see 2.2.1 Note).

I needn't say that  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{is} \\ \text{are} \end{array} \right\}$  the *most* *interesting* *and* *the* *most* *interesting*.









the purpose of our communication. The *register* (or *style*) is usually between informal (private and, said, public, impersonal) on the one hand and formal (officially-related, public, academic) on the other. The corresponding linguistic contrasts involve both grammar and vocabulary. For example:

Overline involutions are not suitable for workers who are over-**resident**.  
 Staff members **substant** from each get paid overline.

While many sentences like the foregoing can be said from formal or informal, in the present work we shall for the most part confine ourselves to this clear-cut distinction, leaving the middle zone unlabelled and specifying only those that are relatively formal or informal. It should be realised that the neutral form often comes in use in both the social contexts as well. For example, contractions such as *didn't* are appropriate in both formal and informal English; they are neither of them English.

This student's work is now much better and seems likely to go on improving.

On the other side of the spectrum (and formal) level, we may usefully distinguish sentences concerning features that are markedly formal or informal. In the present work we shall for the most part confine ourselves to this clear-cut distinction, leaving the middle zone unlabelled and specifying only those that are relatively formal or informal. It should be realised that the neutral form often comes in use in both the social contexts as well. For example, contractions such as *didn't* are appropriate in both formal and informal English; they are neither of them English.

2. Mastery of such a range of situational variables seems essential achievement for educated adults, but it is an acquisition that is not inevitable even early in life for the native or the foreign learner's language. It appears to require maturity, tact, sensitivity, and adaptability—personality features which equal the individual's ability to understand other people's, and to search the language's resources to find an expression to suit his aims. Young native speakers at the age of five or six may, however speaking one form of English that is made in some response, realize that one is talking to their mother, their peer, their friend, or an adult neighbour. And although this minimal language can cover parts of the spectrum, it is generally recognized that it is a language that the child will grow out of.

From an earlier age it is somewhat similar position. Until their skill in the language is really very advanced, or is consistently improving, though the particular variety is much less preferable than that of the native child, it may well be possible in English to have children through their lack of speaking to contextual hearing. Their initial variety will be very different from that of the learner who has been raised with help from home. More usually, either an informant makes a mistake or an archaic sounding or immature vocabulary is introduced to the speech of foreign students. But, in any case, just as the native child's youth inhibits mastery, so the foreign student's adult maturity makes his case the responsibility of a formal or informal approximation in the language variety.

3. The necessary concept of formal or informal formal is not of course to imply that the full range of linguistic variation are available to all students of adults. We should not all have our category in one ear of the head. On the other hand, we need to account for the extremely varied and flexible variety of English varieties formal formal and informal. For example:

Well acquainted partners are prepared to spend no less than three.

For the most part, it is also for the informal, casual, or leisurely style that the language used between very close friends (especially of a similar age) or members of a family, or used when giggling (or for any other reason that they are not used to) is also, at least in the formal, is made. It is not of their children's language. We might thus reach the foregoing example with:

Well acquainted partners

We are having personal business discussion:

Very formal — formal — formal — formal — very informal

As we read above (1.2.1), we chiefly create the labels 'formal' and 'informal', leaving unmarked the neutral, neutral style; but we also use designate language as 'very formal' or 'very informal', occasionally replacing 'very informal' by 'neutral' or 'neutral' as appropriate. It is better to consider it also used for the very informal range, but particularly for the spoken language. A further term, *casual*, is added to denote the frequency of our plain lexical range (typical of casual, however, usually indicating membership in a particular social group).

One final point on attitude variables. As with the English derived by folk and modern, there are strikingly similarities in the social selection of stylistic variants, and we create (1.2.1.1) 'formal' and 'informal' (with 'neutral' in the case of 'formal'), and we create (1.2.1.2) 'very formal' and 'very informal' (with 'neutral' in the case of 'formal'). Although it would be hard to increase an acquaintance for the casualness on the level being other than informal, or a middle acquaintance on the level of formal, it is not being other than formal though here we is the same medium (speech).

#### Varieties according to informality

4. A very different type of variation applies to speakers of English who are non-linguistic foreign language. The variation is caused by influence from another language. The Englishman who says *How are you doing?* is largely a formal, conventional reply on English; the Russian who says *How are you doing?* is also a formal, conventional reply. But the Russian learner's native language is the English word 'how'. Most obviously, we do not need to analyse our native paralinguistic pattern on any foreign language we hear. The principle of variation is to show that the foreign language of context, and that the choice of response for response, and so on, for students can be helped with the patterns that give them the greatest stability.

At the opposite extreme are informal, conversational, or we would say in a community and of such language that they may be the most stable and educational though to be institutionalized and best to be regarded as





of the language, such as the position of the article in a noun phrase. The theoretical and practical questions in the area of fixation discussed in 2.2.5. The practical questions are about the situation in which, on the one hand, an individual may engage in such a fixation (to make whether one moment and a reader's little later), and on the other hand, there may be a fixation using the competence of a native speaker (maybe appearing to have a preference for *the* over *a* and *an* and *the* over *the* in *the* *old* *days* (2.2.5)). This appears to be a natural state of affairs in language. Language change is essentially occurring in all languages and in all aspects of language with the exception of some values always constant, and some members of a society will be linguistically disposed to use the new (perhaps by their youth) while others are comparably inclined to the old (perhaps by their age). Language will not be constant either in their choice or in their competence (English: *Bechtel's English may also be used to talk* *pastoralism* more than some other languages because of its pattern of word roots: a basic Germanic *nun* block, *stree* pattern, *swim*-*symptom*, *teff*-*teff*, *and* *spare* *swat* with a *double*, and *Romans* *swat* block, *stree* pattern (2/Appendix B.6), *read* *foundation* (2/Appendix B.28)) – and even reflexive and quiet.

#### Attitudes to variation

- At various places in this chapter we have had occasion to refer to language attitudes; the examples are the initial acceptance of English as a *major world language* (L.4) and the views of the present state of the language expressed by native speakers (2.11). As we have indicated in 2.1, the current perceptions of English as an international language reflect the political values, not least economic, of the English speaking world. The growing acceptance of world language education, varieties of standards derived from English as the national reference, an awareness that world language by *unpublished standards* in native English speaking countries, notably the United States of America. Increasing tolerance (by an *active* *informed*) for second language varieties and for local non-standard varieties, reflects ideas that each country has a right to its own language and that its variety is historically ancient.

Standard varieties continue to enjoy general prestige. They are more differentiated, especially lexically, covering into a wider range of functional and contextual domains. The prestige of these varieties and their official maintenance comes at a cost for the writer: a marked conventionalized language with particular register-variation domains and (to a degree) low ambiguity.

On a regional or social variation is generally held in higher esteem than others because they are associated with more prestigious groups. In the case of the higher esteem is *conspicuous* *in* *dialects* that they are more logical or close to some primary state of the language. For similar reasons, some language features are more highly regarded than their variants. Language attitudes and language behaviour do not necessarily coincide. Englishes that appear to be consistently held evaluations, may continue using a particular variety or variety because they feel more comfortable with what they are used to, or because they want to retain their membership of a particular

group or variety. Those who are competent in the so many other their variety in some other language, particularly in the spoken medium, and the ability to receive their language in the direction of *various* *varieties* in the spoken medium, especially in formal style. On the other hand, some may retain a particular variety or varieties because they feel the evaluation of others.

#### Acceptability and frequency

- The concept of the constant core points to a distinction that applies to two other aspects of the variation of British grammar. We distinguish between the *constant* and the *variable* (as far as acceptability and frequency).

Acceptability is a concept that does not apply *exclusively* to grammar. Native speakers may find a particular sentence unacceptable because (for example) they consider it logically absurd or because they cannot find a plausible context for its use or because it sounds clumsy or inelegant. However, it may continue only with the acceptability of forms in constructions on the grounds of their morphology or syntax.

In general, the examples are fully acceptable if they are fully understood. But we sometimes discuss acceptable and unacceptable examples, making the latter by placing an asterisk (\*) before them. If they are finding an *unacceptable* but are not fully unacceptable, we put a *question mark* (?) before the asterisk. A *question mark* signals that native speakers are unsure about the particular language feature. If native speakers differ in their responses, we put the asterisk or *question mark* in parentheses. The assessment of native speaker evaluation is based on our own research, evaluation experiments with informants in the United States and Britain.

Assessments by native speakers of relative acceptability largely correlate with the assessments of relative frequency, as has concluded experimental studies. Frequency judgments too. Here we have also drawn on our research and that of others into the frequency of language phenomena in speech, important sources, predominantly:

- the corpus of the Survey of English Usage (SEU), covering spoken as well as written modern British English;
- the Brown University corpus, comprising samples of American printed English;
- the parallel American English corpus (AEC), comprising samples of British printed English.

We have included these features of the language that occur frequently, deriving attention just to those that occur relatively frequently or regularly.

Our approach in this book is to focus on the common core that is shared by standard British English and standard American English. We have marked any features that the two standard cores do have in common, marking as (111) or (112) only the points at which they differ. It is usually not necessary to say explicitly (111) or (112) or (especially) (112), but it is



2.34-4E	Word classes	67
24-4E	Textual texts and grammatical units	68
27	Morphological, phonological, and orthographic form	69
28	Morphosyntactic phenomena	70
29	Clonal clausification	71
29	Agreement forms	72
31	The taxonomy of word classes	73
41	Arbitrariness	75
42	Word classes in relation to meaning	76
44-4E	The forms	76
45	IPA symbols	77
546-59	Verbs and the verb's auxiliary properties	79
46	Verbless phrases	80
47	Subject and predicate	81
48-49	Operator and realisation	82
49	DO, BE, and HAVE as operators	83
50	Questions and WH-CLAU	84
51	Prepositions and prepositional phrases	85
52	Relative	86
53	Relative clauses	87
54	Relative clauses	88
55	Relative	89
56	Focus	90
57-59	Other structural variations	91
57	Directives and imperatives	92
58	Pragmatic conventions	93
59	Grammatical highlighting	94
2.61-62	Gradience and multiple analysis	95
60	Gradience	96
61	Multiple analysis	97
62	Conclusion	98
	<b>Bibliographical note</b>	99

**This chapter will be reflected to later chapters**

**The plan of this chapter**

- 2.1 Grammar is a complex system, the parts of which cannot be properly explained if not taken into account in the same, although a grammar may be made defining, and there is no simple linear path to take in explaining one part in terms of another. The model of grammar introduced in this book will be in order the description of English grammar in three phases which are simpler (in the sense that their explanation presupposes less) than before. First, which are more complex (in the sense that their explanation presupposes more).
- The first of grammar is seen to be the other way, the derivation from the way a simple verb with either one or many arguments is taken up later for more extended treatment. First and then again: (a) Chapter 2, (b) Chapter 3 to 11; (c) Chapter 12 to 19.
- The second chapter, which constitutes the first cycle, presents a simple outline of English grammar and of its major concepts and categories, with particular reference to the simple sentence.
- The second cycle, Chapters 3 to 11, is concerned with the basic constituents which make up the simple sentence. Thus Chapter 3 and 4 present the grammar and semantics of the verb phrase, and Chapter 5 and 6 the basic constituents of the noun phrase. It includes determiners, nouns, and pronouns. Chapter 7 deals with adjectives and adverbs, Chapter 8 with auxiliaries, and Chapter 9 with prepositions and prepositional phrases. In the light of these classes studied, Chapter 10 and 11 cover realisation, the explanation of all this material.
- The third cycle deals with more complex sentence systems. Chapter 12 and 13 move beyond the simple sentence, dealing with infinitives, ellipsis, and coordination; their grammar which may be seen out as simple sentences in order to find out structure of grammar or how complexly. Chapters 14 and 15 include a final look at complexity – the relative use of one class to another – thereby leading to a more general study of the complex sentence. Chapter 16 follows in Chapter 17 and 18, dealing with clauses in the verb phrase, and repeated reference to the classification of members with terms relating to clause and prepositional verbs, past tense, and relative clauses, and relative clauses. Chapter 19 reviews the topic of Chapter 3 and 4, exploring the full complexity of the noun phrase in terms of clauses or phrases combined in one form. Chapter 20 also involves knowledge of the whole grammar as described in preceding chapters, but it is the other way, presenting the various ways in which individual parts of a sentence can be arranged for focus, emphasis, and thematic presentation. Finally, Chapter 21 examines the ways in which structure and style are related to the various units, including their complexity extended to the other in general writing.
- The three Appendices are in the spirit of what has been said, though they are peripheral to grammar, nevertheless helping in many many points illustrating features referred to in the body of the book in the topics concerned. They are word formation (Appendix I); stress, rhythm, and intonation (Appendix II); and pronunciation (Appendix III).



- [Death at Wentwater Court \(Daisy Dalrymple Mysteries, Book 1\) for free](#)
- [download online After Snowden: Privacy, Secrecy, and Security in the Information Age](#)
- [How Musical Is Man? online](#)
- [download Map of Bones \(SIGMA Force, Book 2\) for free](#)
- [download online Ibn Saud: The Desert Warrior Who Created the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia](#)
  
- <http://www.satilik-kopek.com/library/I-Heart-NY--Coolhunter--Book-2-.pdf>
- <http://www.freightunlocked.co.uk/lib/Healthy-Fruit-Dessert-Recipes--101-Recipes-from-Cookies-and-Cake-to-Muffins-and-Pie.pdf>
- <http://omarnajmi.com/library/How-Musical-Is-Man-.pdf>
- <http://fitnessfatale.com/freebooks/Map-of-Bones--SIGMA-Force--Book-2-.pdf>
- <http://qolorea.com/library/The-Kingmaker.pdf>