

A
COMPREHENSIVE
GRAMMAR
OF THE
ENGLISH
LANGUAGE

Randolph Quirk
Sidney Greenbaum
Geoffrey Leech
Jan Svartvik

Index by David Crystal



Longman
London and New York

1 The English language

1.1	1.1	The English language today	2
1.1	1.2	The importance of English	2
1.2	1.3	Themes of English	2
1.4	1.4	Academic and general English	4
1.5	1.5	Foreign language	4
1.6	1.6	The demand for English	5
1.6	1.7	The teaching of English	6
1.7	1.8	School curricula of English	7
1.8	1.9	The International Movement of English	7
1.9	1.10	The future of English	8
1.10	1.11	Standards of English	10
1.12	1.12	Grammar and the study of language	11
1.12	1.13	Types of linguistic organization	11
1.13	1.14	Words and spellings	11
1.13	1.15	Lexicology, semantics, semantics, pragmatics	11
1.14	1.16	The meaning of grammar	12
1.14	1.17	Style and inflections	12
1.15	1.18	Rules and the null hypothesis	12
1.16	1.19	The construction of rules	12
1.17	1.20	Prescriptive grammar	14
1.18	1.21	Grammatical categories of English	14
1.19	1.22	Varieties of English	15
1.19	1.23	Types of variation	15
1.20	1.24	Regional variation	15
1.21	1.25	Social variation	17
1.22	1.26	Standard English	18
1.23	1.27	Outboard standardised English	19
1.24	1.28	British and American English	19
1.25	1.29	Scottish, Indian, Chinese	20
1.26	1.30	South Africa, Australia, New Zealand	21
1.27	1.31	Pronunciation and standard English	22
1.28	1.32	Lexical variation in English	23
1.29	1.33	Varieties according to register	24
1.31	1.34	Varieties according to context	24
1.34	1.35	Varieties according to motivation	27
1.35	1.36	Varieties and style	28
1.36	1.37	Relationship among variety types	29

The English language today

The Importance of English

- 1.1 English is generally acknowledged to be the world's most important language. It is perhaps even growing rapidly at the expense of other languages. There are, after all, thousands of different languages in the world, and each will undoubtedly hope to be able to do what the others do, with its own special language. They acquired it from another's hand. But there are some objective standards of relative importance.

One criterion is the number of speakers of the language. A second is the extent to which a language is geographically dispersed. A third criterion is the amount of international knowledge of it possessed. A third is the national level of education in the name of progress for which it is used* in political, social, scientific and artistic fields. A fourth is the educational and professional status of its native speakers of the language.

- 1.2 If we measure the first criterion in terms of speakers of the language, the number in question is about 300 million for English, and only about 100 million for Chinese (about 500 million Chinese but number of speakers). The second criterion, the geographical dispersal of the language, is also superior, with four examples: English, French, and Arabic languages used in major world centres, together with the Chinese language, of special importance. For the spread of English, over most of the world as an international language is a unique phenomenon. In the world's largest state, 150 million first people – over 40% of the world population – still speak as their first language some other official language. But of the other languages, it is the dominant native language. By the third criterion, the great literature of the Chinese seems to lead the way among the languages of China, Greek, Hebrew, and Russian. But in addition to being the language of the literature, Shakespeare, Shakespeare, English has by its primary means the international literary science and technology. The fourth criterion, the literary, scientific, and technical nature, that English is the language of the United States, whose population in 1960 was over 150 million. It is the most important, Japan.

History has been made for the importance of English on the grounds of its quality as a language (the size of its vocabulary, its extreme lack of inflections, the alleged flexibility of its syntax). The desire for international language, or lingua franca, is never based on linguistic or scientific criteria, but always on political, economic, and demographic ones.

The use of English

- 1.3 English is used worldwide as a second language. A common writer made the point that the language is to be used as a second language for people who are not equal to the resources of their own (generally in the form), or who cannot acquire it in their own language. Corresponding with this distinction is that between the second and first language. The primary

1.1-1.2 Yuzhen, et al. > survey
1.4 Atlanta is similar

1.12 Acceptability and emergency

R1-Rugby based note

languages of the spoken and of the written language. In some countries (particularly in those where it is the dominant native language), English is used, particularly for internal purposes, as an international language; for example, in the United States, it is used by the speakers of the other country to allow business chiefly as an international language, the medium of communication with people from other countries.

This will be a typical example of the more common way in which between a native language, a second language, and a foreign language. As a foreign language, English is used for international communication, but as a second language, it is used chiefly for international purposes. We can distinguish five typical functions for which English characteristically serves as a medium when it is a second language: (1) international, for federal relations; (2) regional, for government administration and the law courts; (3) commercial, for international communication between individuals speaking different native languages; (4) occupational, both internationally and intranationally for commerce and for services and occupations; (5) science, for international exchange, such as books and periodicals.

3. It is a language which has been used for the native language, and a foreign language may be spoken by a large number of people in the same country. English is one of the most widely spoken languages in the world.

It is a language which has been used for the native language, and a foreign language may be spoken by a large number of people in the same country. English is one of the most widely spoken languages in the world.

It is a language which has been used for the native language, and a foreign language may be spoken by a large number of people in the same country. English is one of the most widely spoken languages in the world.

Native and second language

4. English is spoken as a second language by more than 200 million people, most of whom live in South America, the British Isles, Australia, New Zealand, the Caribbean, and South Africa. In several of these countries, English is not the sole language; the Yoruba people of Nigeria, for example, speak more than 200 native languages, and many of them speak other languages. But their second native language is not English, and they speak it as their second language for certain government, commercial, social, or educational activities within their own country.

English is also a second language in many countries where only a small proportion of the people have English as their native language. In about twenty-five countries English has been legally declared as an official language. In about 100 (such as Nigeria) it is the sole official language, and in some 100 others (such as India) it is one of the official languages, along with other languages. Most of these countries are former British territories. Despite the association of the English language with the former colonial world, it has long been required for scientific papers; when it is not native language (e.g. science, medicine, law), it is a second language that is politically necessary, at least at the national level, for administrative and legal

functions, and as an international language for science and technology. It is dominant in higher education. English is an official language in countries of such diverse backgrounds as India, Nigeria, and Liberia, while in many countries (such as France, Thailand, South Korea, and some Middle Eastern countries) it is used for high education. In the United States, English is not the official language, while retaining its special status as the 'official' language. However, it has been established as an official language, mainly as a result of the laws of the 1970s, when more people today learn English than in any time during the colonial period. It has been estimated that English is a second language for well over 200 million people; the number of second-language speakers may soon exceed the number of native speakers, if it has not done so already.

5. The English language is the most widely spoken language in the world. It is spoken by more than 200 million people in the world. It is the most widely spoken language in the world.

Foreign languages

6. Foreign languages are second languages used by people for communication, mainly business or with others who are not from their country. However, in business, reading books or newspapers, English is a second language. For example, in the United States, it is used for communication with other countries. The United States is at the present time preparing and apparently completing American legislation such as the United States-India Trade Agreement (U.S.A.) and the United States-Vietnam Trade Agreement (U.S.A.), which provide support for English teaching both in the United States and in other countries throughout the world. The U.S.A. (United States-India Trade Agreement) like the U.S.A. has similar trade and investment treaties directed to this purpose. Other English-speaking countries such as Australia, New Zealand, and Canada have similar legislation for teaching English as a foreign language.

We shall look more closely at the next section at the third and fourth stages of development in the next section. The reasons for the changes are very complex. To give a simple, rough idea of the requirements of the modern world, and to give a rough idea of the requirements of the modern world, it is essential that it should be able to do at least half of the world's scientific research, and the most important scientific research is in English. It is essential that it should be able to do at least half of the world's scientific research, and the most important scientific research is in English. It is essential that it should be able to do at least half of the world's scientific research, and the most important scientific research is in English.

- 18 In some contexts it is considered appropriate to distinguish the role played by the number of hours of study of English lessons. In 1975 an official world-wide study of 200 schools of boys, 15–17 years old, taught English. The actual comparison was made in 1976 and showed that:
- (i) The positive balance of English, but indeed language in general, in other language (French, Spanish, etc.) was not able to compete in most of the range of its functions and to present the acceptance of English as a (first) language used and learned naturally by their learners (see also the following section).

The demand for English

The teaching of English

- 19 The role of *deixis* (foreign language that French occupies for two centuries from about 1700) has been assumed by English (except of course in the English-speaking countries themselves, where French is still the *lingua franca*) especially in the foreign language teaching circles. Although government obliges international organizations to devote far more resources to translation and interpreter services than would strictly be demanded, no study has yet been offered to a satisfactory degree in English. The general equivalent of the *International English Proficiency Testing* school in French is perhaps the English-speaking school organized through the British Council in Canada and other countries, but there seems to be even more confusion in the Soviet Union and other East European countries than in countries to the West. There are also considerable commercial difficulties that hinder English in all levels and to all ages, both for non-English-speaking countries and in English-speaking countries. Most language teaching, if it exists, takes place in the ordinary system of the state educational system.

The extent to which English is taught at the school level is shown in our analysis of the educational statistics for 112 countries where English is not a native language, but is either a foreign language or a second language. Our study confirms that over 70 million primary school students and over 21 million secondary school students were in English classes in the early 1970s. These figures represent over 12 per cent of the primary school population and over 25 per cent of the secondary school population for those countries. It is significant that English was the medium of instruction for 27 per cent of the primary school students in Germany (50 per cent of the secondary school students). Estimated figures would have been the higher if statistics for all non-English-speaking countries had been included. In notable contrast from the study on the People's Republic of China (since the secondary school population is increasing at a rapid rate in the developing countries), we can expect that the number of English learners at the secondary level has increased very considerably since the early 1970s.

Owing to the primary and secondary schools, there are large numbers of students in institutions of higher and further education who are learning English here, usually as preparation for the medium of instruction in a number of language-teaching centres; not areas to which state and technological proliferation, the quality of English teaching, or the number, or the presence, is to improve (the chances of employment or promotion in such areas as the tourist trade, international commerce, or international programmes for education or research) and, of course, where it is a foreign language, English

is usually used as the medium for higher education, or even for scientific and technological subjects, even when it is not so used in the primary or secondary levels.

Many students come from abroad for their higher and further education in English-speaking countries, where English is of course the medium for their studies. In 1975, there were 230,940 foreign students enrolled at the post-secondary level of education in the United States, and 611 in the United Kingdom, and 22,148 in Canada (where English is the medium in French-speaking institutions), apart from smaller numbers in other English-speaking countries. The country with the next largest figure after the United States was France (19,161) and 11,043 foreign students in the same year.

Second acquisition of English

- 20 In some of those English (post-independence) the native language, the form of written English taught in the schools is usually the *British* one (see p. 127), the variety presented being the national variety of the language in the country. However, it is not always clear that in the past the medium is aimed to make the local spoken variety conform with such a foreign spoken norm.

In countries where English is a *second* language, the major means for both writing and speech has generally been the standard variety of British and American English. The choice between them has depended on various factors: whether the country was formerly British or a US colony; its proximity to America or the United States; which of the two had most influenced its economic, cultural or scientific development; and local commercial or political relations. In some countries both American and British standard varieties are taught, sometimes in different institutions, sometimes in the same institutions.

The situation has been changing in those countries where English is a second language, used especially for international purposes in the absence of a commonly accepted national language. In countries such as India and Nigeria independent educated students are becoming multilingual and are acquiring useful receptivity. In the meantime, teachers in those countries are uncertain, at best, about the norms in which their teaching should be based; to the end of the way they local standards in the use of some selected standards. Such uncertainties are analogous to the uncertainties among teachers in native English countries over dialect usage in 'prestige' or 'general' varieties from their own usage (p. 127).

Where English is a *foreign* language, we may expect the American and British standard varieties to continue to be the major models, competing increasingly with the selected varieties of other countries such as Australia, in regions where there is the special influence of those countries.

- 21 Certain other British and other foreign varieties, in which local languages are spoken, may be used as teaching materials and this is reflected in curriculum practices.

The British national character of English

- 22 English is particularly the most homogeneous of languages. Through the time of the language may also be traced as of England, or as may be seen in the

the language with the United States, one of the world's superpowers. English continues to perform a political or official function that any other living tongue (Spanish and French being the notable exceptions). At one and the same time, English serves the daily purposes of regions such as the United States and Africa, among different sizes, populations, climates, economic and political philosophies; the business and commerce areas of the United Kingdom, as well as the widely scattered Commonwealth partners, themselves at different times each other as they are from Britain herself.

But the cultural neutrality of English must not be pushed too far. The formal or metaphorical use of such expressions as *common law* throughout the English-speaking world reflects a common heritage in the legal system; and although the Constitution of the United States is the Authorized (or King James) Version of the Bible, George Washington's name is not a deity, a 'New England' is a geographical concept, or not – identify entirely to a shared culture. The *Conveyance* and *the Justice* regarding 'continental' names inside United States and even in Australia and New Zealand, at other times, implies equally distinct, the independence and distinct culture of one or other of the English-speaking communities. When an Australian speaks of *Swainson's bunting* (not *Swainson's bunting*), the metaphorical link to the European activity of naming the offspring of someone else is the hint of doing what that has been done before. When an American speaks of *not guilty by reason of insanity* (not *insanity by reason of insanity*), the metaphor contains an explicit culturalistic reference – the quest of freedom. And when an Englishman says that something is *not a child of the fatherland*, the allusion is also to a quest that is by no means alien to the English-speaking countries.

The future of English

- 1.9 **Providence – clairvoyance** – can see much about the future of English. It is aware of the ideological bases for such predictions with respect to the current use of English.

A single international language has not been thought of as the best or most universal compromise yet. Artificially-concocted languages have never required sufficiently large numbers of adherents, although in principle world languages have the obvious advantage that through all borders will ensure mutual understanding and reciprocal speaking, thereby not giving an advantage to speakers of any particular language. During the last few decades French has come closest to being the single international language, having achieved a greater work force than any other language (in recorded times), but in recent years doubts have arisen whether it will ever reach the status of the single international language or, indeed, whether or not such an international language will survive at the present level.

One reason for the doubts has been the fact that national varieties of English are rapidly growing further apart and will finally separate into mutually incomprehensible languages. There have also been opinions that, probably in spite of the world's fight to avoid either *Global English* or *World English* or *Worldwide* while a national variety might lead to the abandonment of a national member dialect and hence to the further

disintegration of English. The diversity in variety is greatest in countries where English is a second language and therefore has to do original things in those countries (Australia and Canada) by teachers only on themselves, not after speakers of the first and who have unconsciously acquired the language by varying degrees of fluency. It is not surprising that the standards of the conventional variable and subject to change. Some express concern about the English language's stability and the ill-considered control of the language in such situations. Some people distrust the idea of the emerging instability of national varieties, which no longer have to adhere strictly to standards of acceptability.

- 1.10 While fears for the disintegration of English cannot be overplayed, however, possible future developments in process do not deny the unity of the language. Despite considerable dialectal differences with a few national varieties, the standard systems have preserved the essential stability of the common standards. The widespread English system generally ignores both the changes in pronunciation and usage and the variations in pronunciation throughout space, despite its numerous regional, it is a unifying factor in world English. Many factors are conducive to making all systems in national varieties flexible and communicable: first, a common system of vocabulary, grammar, and syntax; second, the common medium and of code, orthography, and style in the written medium. Teachers and students can be made available to, and to, all forms of, because variation and national membership systems are made flexible enough to take account of variation. Despite a growing awareness of international variation in speech, standard forms remain the same for written English.

The future of English as an international language has also been cast in terms of the possibility of teaching the language, especially in mass scale, to the level required for international usefulness, given the enormous expenditures required for the purpose. It is possible that as developing countries become richer they will be able to attain the expenditure on the teaching of English and raise the levels of local and global proficiency. A lot of work programs have been devised to train the global language learning thereby allowing a more realistic deployment of educational resources in the teaching of English for Specific Purposes, the acronym for language of reference communication. Following earlier attempts (such as *World English*) that were largely failed, a proposal has also recently been made for a new international focus of English (known as *Worldwide English*) that would involve a selection of the features of national English, for example, mutual intelligibility and the standard way would be used by all participants in the system and be allowed to. The simplified form would be restricted to speakers of any major national variety and could be designed for specific purposes, for example for international scientific communication.

The long-term maintenance of English as a second language is also questionable in some countries. The arguments for world-wide-level advancement conflict with the movements for the establishment of national pride and the native traditional. Objectives for an official status for English could lead to the replacement by native languages in educational and national pride and development. Since a great amount of English is usually preferred to an ethnic variety, respect for local languages and a national

The 60% still not clear. CE finish with this word, but [...] it's quite different, however.]

A: Are you for my home?

B: Too tight. With steel beams [I know tele...], everything will be fine.]

But there are many lexical items that are to be regarded as fully naturalized: not merely the general terms and the Dutch *soortspans* (garage, *woon*), but special ones: often a case of familiar words (for example *jeep*) or a general word for field work (*ZIP*, *stroom* ('energy flow'), *becker* ('river full to its brims'), *water* ('wet cloth') and special Australian words (for example *knacker* ('meatmarket'), *swaddy* ('clothing'))

Pronunciation and standard English

- 7 The [RP] is [...] the only variety which has regional or national, though the approach to the status of a standard. Beside the widespread Code in the Commonwealth, the standard, more formal variety is recognized as the language of government and other public occasions, but is more eliptical than can be referred to as 'Creole-based English'. It is here no doubt the only variety which is used in countries where English is a spoken second language (p. 146). It is very, of the variety, an inevitable primary in the free world in which even the most fully established, RP and AmE, CIB. Even such other in vocabulary, grammar, and orthography. We have been careful, however, not to overstate pronunciation. In this connection, pronunciation is a topic of use for several reasons. In the first place, it is the type of linguistic phenomenon which distinguishes one national standard from another most importantly and completely and which leads in a more obvious way the national standards to the regional varieties. Secondly, it is an important occasion in its history, it is the least institutionalized aspect of standard English, in the sense that, unlike our grammar and local forms conform to the appropriate national standard, it comes less often or pronounced forms clearly one national regional pattern. This is doubtful because pronunciation is essentially a matter of 'more or less' rather than the discrete 'right or just' (grammar, *and* 'right'). Thirdly, some of pronunciation is subject to local, national, and cultural conflicts that can be traced over this variety in that, like some regional, accents are less acceptable than others (cf. [1] to [12] b)).

But there is an exception, noted above, to the generalization that regional pronunciation can be used without harm. In the case of pronunciation differences in writing the terms of 'standard' is the *same* compared with the older textbooks and universities of England, 'Received Pronunciation' or 'RP'. Because this has traditionally been transmitted through a private education system, based upon the type schools founded here the locality in which they happen to be situated. It is managerial, and this – together with the newness of the term – has the social importance of its use. This has indeed over – has been one of its strengths as a widely favored spoken form of the language. But RP is being lost through the way in which it has in the last half of the twentieth century. It is now only one among several variants commonly used on the BBC and even its place along with others which carry the unmistakable mark of regional origin (and RP) in Australia and South

American or Caribbean origin. Thus the rule that a specific type of pronunciation is relatively unimportant seems to be in use because of using the *same* to represent the RP pronunciation. Nevertheless, it remains the standard for writing the British variety of English. Other varieties of English can be easily seen from dictionaries and textbooks intended for students that keep British English.

RP also shares a distinction with a variety of British American pronunciation known as 'network English'. BBC news and the weekly (by all RP) speakers, just as newscasters on the national radio and television networks in the United States all speak with the network English pronunciation.

In this book we do not attempt to represent the range of variation in pronunciation considered with different national standards. We do, however, record the major differences using the system of symbols found on page viii) between RP and network English.

- 8 There is an emphasis on the fact that the only variety which has regional or national, though the approach to the status of a standard. Beside the widespread Code in the Commonwealth, the standard, more formal variety is recognized as the language of government and other public occasions, but is more eliptical than can be referred to as 'Creole-based English'. It is here no doubt the only variety which is used in countries where English is a spoken second language (p. 146). It is very, of the variety, an inevitable primary in the free world in which even the most fully established, RP and AmE, CIB. Even such other in vocabulary, grammar, and orthography. We have been careful, however, not to overstate pronunciation. In this connection, pronunciation is a topic of use for several reasons. In the first place, it is the type of linguistic phenomenon which distinguishes one national standard from another most importantly and completely and which leads in a more obvious way the national standards to the regional varieties. Secondly, it is an important occasion in its history, it is the least institutionalized aspect of standard English, in the sense that, unlike our grammar and local forms conform to the appropriate national standard, it comes less often or pronounced forms clearly one national regional pattern. This is doubtful because pronunciation is essentially a matter of 'more or less' rather than the discrete 'right or just' (grammar, *and* 'right'). Thirdly, some of pronunciation is subject to local, national, and cultural conflicts that can be traced over this variety in that, like some regional, accents are less acceptable than others (cf. [1] to [12] b)).

Varieties according to field of discourse

- 4 The field of discourse is the type of activity engaged in through language. A speaker in English uses appropriate varieties according to field and registers in the appropriate use in occasion requires. The number of varieties that speakers commonly require upon their profession, manner, and interests.

Typically the *same* to represent the RP pronunciation. Nevertheless, it remains the standard for writing the British variety of English. Other varieties of English can be easily seen from dictionaries and textbooks intended for students that keep British English. RP also shares a distinction with a variety of British American pronunciation known as 'network English'. BBC news and the weekly (by all RP) speakers, just as newscasters on the national radio and television networks in the United States all speak with the network English pronunciation. In this book we do not attempt to represent the range of variation in pronunciation considered with different national standards. We do, however, record the major differences using the system of symbols found on page viii) between RP and network English.

You can see [...] it is [...] you can see [...]

is [...]

is [...] it is [...] you can see [...]

More notes: grammatical differences are found in the language of legal documents:

is [...] that is [...] you can see [...] it is [...] you can see [...] it is [...] you can see [...]

of the language, such as the position of the article in a noun phrase. The theoretical and practical questions in the area of fixation discussed in 2.2.5. The practical questions are about the situation in which, on the one hand, an individual may engage in such a fixation (to make whether one moment and a reader's little later), and on the other hand, there may be a fixation using the competence of a native speaker (maybe appearing to have a preference for *the man that sat and studied a predicate for 30 slides that it was*). This appears to be a natural state of affairs in language. Language change is essentially occurring in all languages and in all aspects of language with the regularity of day and night (and thus always occurs), and some members of a society will be linguistically disposed to use the new (perhaps by their youth) while others are comparably inclined in the old (perhaps by their age). Language will not be considered either in their choice as in their temporal, spatial (geographical), or other (English may be used to talk football more than some other languages because of its native's great status: a basic Germanic vocabulary, strong pattern, semi-regularity, inflection, and general *readable* with a double), and *language* will be used, strong pattern (cf. App. B.6), read (cf. App. B.28), and even *reference* and *quote*.

Attitudes to variation

- At various places in this chapter we have had occasion to refer to language attitudes; the examples are the initial acceptance of English as a *major world language* (L.4) and the views of the present state of the language expressed by native speakers (L.11). As we have indicated in L.1, the current perceptions of English as an international language reflect the political values, not least economic, of the English speaking world. The growing acceptance of standard language education as a standard norm is from a perspective national education, an acronym that was a historical language by *unpublished* *reference* in native English speaking countries, notably the United States of America. Increasing tolerance (by an *active* *reference*) for second-language variants and for local non-standard varieties reflects that such research compares has a right to be seen (language) and that its variety is historically *active*.

Standard varieties continue to enjoy general prestige. They are more differentiated, especially lexically, covering into a wider range of functional and contextual domains. The prestige of these varieties and their official maintenance comes at a cost for the writer: a marked conventionalized language with particular (perhaps arbitrary) choices and (to a degree) irreversibility.

On a regional or social variation is generally held in higher esteem than others because they are associated with more prestigious groups. In the case of the higher esteem is *conspicuous* *reference* in that they are held to be closer to some primary state of the language. For similar reasons, some language features are more highly regarded than their variants. Language attitudes and language behaviour do not necessarily coincide. Speakers hold acceptable or desirable held evaluations, may continue using a particular variant or variants because they feel more comfortable with what they are used to, or because they want to retain their membership of a particular

group or community. Those who are competent in the so-called *higher* than variety in both their surface, particularly in the spoken medium, and the ability to receive their language in the direction of *written* *reference* in the written medium, especially in formal style. On the other hand, some may retain a particular variant or variants because they prefer the evaluation of others.

Acceptability and frequency

- The concept of the constant core points to a distinction that applies to two other aspects of the variation of British grammar. We distinguish between the *constant* and the *variable* (as far as acceptability and frequency).

Acceptability is a concept that does not apply exclusively to grammar. Native speakers may find a particular sentence unacceptable because (for example) they consider it logically flawed or because they cannot find a plausible context for its use or because it sounds clumsy or impolite, etc. etc. We are concerned only with the acceptability of forms in connection with the grammar of their morphology in syntax.

In general, the examples are fully acceptable if they are fully described. But we sometimes discuss acceptable and unacceptable examples, making the latter by placing an asterisk (*) before them. If they are holding an acceptability but are not fully acceptable, we put a query (?) before the material. A query also signals that native speakers are unsure about the particular language feature. If native speakers differ in their responses, we put the symbol of query in parentheses. The assessment of native speaker evaluation is based on our own research, evaluation experiments with informants in the United States and Britain.

Assessments by native speakers of relative acceptability largely coincide with the assessments of relative frequency, as has concluded experimental studies. Frequency measures too. Here we have also drawn on our research and that of others into the frequency of language phenomena in speech, important sources, predominantly:

- the corpus of the Survey of English Usage (SEU), covering spoken as well as written varieties of British English;
- the Brown University corpus, comprising samples of American printed English;
- the parallel described by the Survey of English Usage (SEU), covering samples of British printed English.

We have included these features of the language that occur frequently, deriving attention just to those that occur relatively frequently or regularly.

We repeated in this book to focus on the common core that is shared by standard British English and standard American English. We have marked any features that the two standard cores do have in common, marking as (111) or (112) only the points at which they differ. It is usually not necessary to say explicitly (111) or (112) or (especially) (113), but it is

- [Death at Wentwater Court \(Daisy Dalrymple Mysteries, Book 1\) for free](#)
- [download online After Snowden: Privacy, Secrecy, and Security in the Information Age](#)
- [How Musical Is Man? online](#)
- [download Map of Bones \(SIGMA Force, Book 2\) for free](#)
- [download online Ibn Saud: The Desert Warrior Who Created the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia](#)

- <http://www.satilik-kopek.com/library/I-Heart-NY--Coolhunter--Book-2-.pdf>
- <http://www.freightunlocked.co.uk/lib/Healthy-Fruit-Dessert-Recipes--101-Recipes-from-Cookies-and-Cake-to-Muffins-and-Pie.pdf>
- <http://omarnajmi.com/library/How-Musical-Is-Man-.pdf>
- <http://fitnessfatale.com/freebooks/Map-of-Bones--SIGMA-Force--Book-2-.pdf>
- <http://qolorea.com/library/The-Kingmaker.pdf>