


THIRD EDITION

Abdominal ULTRASOUND

HOW, WHY AND WHEN


Jane Bates



CHURCHILL
LIVINGSTONE
ELSEVIER

ABDOMINAL ULTRASOUND

A horizontal dotted line in a light orange color, consisting of small circular dots, extending across the width of the page below the title.




Commissioning Editor: Claire Wilson
Development Editor: Catherine Jackson
Project Manager: Priya Dauntess
Designer: Kirsteen Wright

ABDOMINAL ULTRASOUND

How, Why and When

Third Edition

Jane Bates MPhil DMU DCR
Cons Practitioner, Ultrasound, Leeds, UK



Edinburgh • London • New York • Oxford • Philadelphia • St Louis • Sydney • Toronto 2011

© 2011 year Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Details on how to seek permission, further information about the Publisher's permissions policies and our arrangements with organizations such as the Copyright Clearance Center and the Copyright Licensing Agency, can be found at our website: www.elsevier.com/permissions.

This book and the individual contributions contained in it are protected under copyright by the Publisher (other than as may be noted herein).

First edition 1999
Second edition 2004
Third edition 2011

ISBN 978 0 443 06919 2

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
A catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

Notices

Knowledge and best practice in this field are constantly changing. As new research and experience broaden our understanding, changes in research methods, professional practices, or medical treatment may become necessary.

Practitioners and researchers must always rely on their own experience and knowledge in evaluating and using any information, methods, compounds, or experiments described herein. In using such information or methods they should be mindful of their own safety and the safety of others, including parties for whom they have a professional responsibility.

With respect to any drug or pharmaceutical products identified, readers are advised to check the most current information provided (i) on procedures featured or (ii) by the manufacturer of each product to be administered, to verify the recommended dose or formula, the method and duration of administration, and contraindications. It is the responsibility of practitioners, relying on their own experience and knowledge of their patients, to make diagnoses, to determine dosages and the best treatment for each individual patient, and to take all appropriate safety precautions.

To the fullest extent of the law, neither the Publisher nor the authors, contributors, or editors, assume any liability for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions, or ideas contained in the material herein.

ELSEVIER

your source for books,
journals and multimedia
in the health sciences

www.elsevierhealth.com

Working together to grow
libraries in developing countries

www.elsevier.com | www.bookaid.org | www.sabre.org

ELSEVIER

BOOK AID
International

THE INTERNATIONAL
FEDERATION OF
ACADEMIC LIBRARIANS

The
publisher's
policy is to use
paper manufactured
from sustainable forests

Printed in China

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Contributors | vii |
| Preface | ix |
| Abbreviations | xi |
| Chapter 1 Optimizing the diagnostic information | 1 |
| Chapter 2 The normal hepato-biliary system | 21 |
| Chapter 3 Pathology of the gallbladder and biliary tree | 51 |
| Chapter 4 Pathology of the liver and portal venous system | 99 |
| Chapter 5 The pancreas | 155 |
| Chapter 6 Ultrasound of the spleen and lymphatic system | 175 |
| Chapter 7 Ultrasound of the renal tract | 191 |
| Chapter 8 Ultrasound of the retroperitoneum and gastrointestinal tract | 243 |
| Chapter 9 Ultrasound of the paediatric abdomen <i>Co-written with Terry M. Humphrey</i> | 267 |
| Chapter 10 Ultrasound of the acute abdomen | 297 |
| Chapter 11 Interventional techniques <i>Co-written with Grant Baxter</i> | 307 |
| Bibliography, resources and further reading | 331 |
| Index | 333 |

This page intentionally left blank

Contributors



Grant Baxter FRCR

Consultant Radiologist, Department of Radiology,
Western Infirmary, Glasgow, UK

Terry M Humphrey MHSC DMU DCR

Sonographer Practitioner – Paediatric Specialist,
Ultrasound Department, St James’s University
Hospital, Leeds, UK

This page intentionally left blank

Preface

Ultrasound is one of the most important and most frequently used diagnostic tools at our disposal. It is used by a range of health professionals from various clinical backgrounds, and its contribution covers a broad spectrum from first-line triage to focused definitive diagnostic tool, from basic exclusion tool to guided interventional therapy. Ultrasound is operator dependent to a degree unrivalled by most diagnostic instruments, and, in the hands of the unwary operator, has the potential for great harm as well as good.

This book is intended as a practical, easily accessible guide to sonographer practitioners and those wishing to learn and develop in the field of ultrasound. It seeks to enable the operator to maximize the diagnostic information available and, most importantly, to recognize the limitations of the scan and to avoid diagnostic pitfalls.

Where possible it presents a wider, more holistic approach to the patient, including presenting symptoms, complementary imaging procedures and further management options. It does not aim to be in any way comprehensive, but is intended as a springboard from which practical skills and clinical knowledge can further develop.

The book seeks to increase the student's awareness of the contribution of ultrasound in patient management, and to encourage the sonographer to explore the enormous potential of diagnostic ultrasound in a properly supervised environment.

The author gratefully acknowledges the help and support of staff working in diagnostic ultrasound in Leeds.

Jane Bates
2010

This page intentionally left blank

Abbreviations

| | | | |
|--------|--|---------|--|
| ADPCDK | autosomal dominant polycystic disease of the kidney | FAST | focused assessment with sonography for trauma |
| AFP | alpha-fetoprotein | FDA | Food and Drug Administration |
| AI | acceleration index | FDG-PET | ^[18F] 2-fluoro-2-deoxy-d-glucose positron emission tomography |
| AIDS | acquired immune deficiency syndrome | FPS | frames per second |
| AIUM | American Institute for Ultrasound in Medicine | HA | hepatic artery |
| ALARA | as low as reasonably achieved | HCC | hepatocellular carcinoma |
| ALT | alanine aminotransferase | HELLP | haemolytic anaemia, elevated liver enzymes and low platelet count |
| AML | angiomyolipomas | HIDA | hepatic iminodiacetic acid |
| APKD | autosomal dominant (adult) polycystic kidney | HOP | head of pancreas |
| ARPCDK | autosomal recessive polycystic disease of the kidney | HPS | hypertrophic pyloric stenosis |
| AST | aspartate aminotransferase | HV | hepatic vein |
| AT | acceleration time | INR | international normalized ratio |
| AV | arteriovenous | IOUS | intraoperative ultrasound |
| BCS | Budd–Chiari syndrome | IVC | inferior vein cava |
| CAPD | continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis | IVU | intravenous urogram |
| CBD | common bile duct | LFT | liver function test |
| CD | common duct | LPV | left portal vein |
| CF | cystic fibrosis | LRV | left renal vein |
| CT | computed tomography | LS | longitudinal section |
| DIC | disseminated intravascular coagulation | LUQ | left upper quadrant |
| DMSA | dimercaptosuccinic acid | MCKD | multicystic dysplastic kidney |
| DTPA | diethylene triaminepenta-acetic acid | MHA | middle hepatic artery |
| EDF | end-diastolic flow | MHV | middle hepatic vein |
| ERCP | endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography | MI | mechanical index |
| ESWL | extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy | MPV | main portal vein |
| EUS | endoscopic ultrasound | MRA | magnetic resonance angiography |
| | | MRCP | magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography |
| | | MRI | magnetic resonance imaging |
| | | MRV | main renal vein |

| | | | |
|------|---|-------|---|
| ODS | output display standard | SA | splenic artery |
| PAC | photographic archiving and communications | SLE | systemic lupus erythematosus |
| PACS | photographic archiving and communications systems | SMA | superior mesenteric artery |
| PBC | primary biliary cirrhosis | SV | splenic vein |
| PCKD | polycystic kidney disease | TB | tuberculosis |
| PCS | pelvicalyceal system | TGC | time gain compensation |
| PD | pancreatic duct | THI | tissue harmonic imaging |
| PI | pulsatility index | TI | thermal index |
| PID | pelvic inflammatory disease | TIB | bone-at-focus index |
| PRF | pulse repetition frequency | TIC | cranial index |
| PSC | primary sclerosing cholangitis | TIPSS | transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt |
| PTLD | post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder | TIS | soft-tissue thermal index |
| PV | portal vein | TOP | tail of pancreas |
| RAS | renal artery stenosis | TORCH | toxoplasmosis, rubella, cytomegalovirus and HIV |
| RCC | renal cell carcinoma | TS | transverse section |
| RF | radiofrequency | UTI | urinary tract infection |
| RHV | right hepatic vein | VHL | von Hippel–Lindau disease |
| RI | resistance index | VUJ | vesicoureteric junction |
| RIF | right iliac fossa | WRMSD | work-related musculoskeletal disorders |
| RK | right kidney | XGP | xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis |
| RPV | right portal vein | | |
| RRA | right renal vein | | |
| RUQ | right upper quadrant | | |
| RVT | renal vein thrombosis | | |

Optimizing the diagnostic information

1

CHAPTER CONTENTS

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|----|---|----|
| Introduction | 2 | Recording of images | 14 |
| Technique | 2 | Safety of diagnostic ultrasound | 15 |
| Image optimization | 2 | Biological effects of ultrasound | 15 |
| The use of doppler | 3 | Thermal effects | 15 |
| Getting the best out of doppler | 9 | Mechanical effects | 16 |
| Additional imaging modes | 11 | Safety indices (thermal and mechanical indices) | 16 |
| Tissue harmonic imaging | 11 | Other hazards | 16 |
| Compound imaging | 11 | Work-related musculoskeletal disorders | 17 |
| Volume ultrasound | 12 | The safe practice of ultrasound | 17 |
| Elastography | 12 | Medicolegal issues | 17 |
| Choosing a machine | 12 | Departmental guidelines/schemes of work | 18 |
| Image quality | 13 | Quality assurance | 18 |
| Machine capabilities and functions | 13 | Equipment tests | 18 |
| Ergonomics | 13 | References | 19 |
| Maintenance issues | 14 | | |
| Upgradeability | 14 | | |

INTRODUCTION

Ultrasound is operator dependent to a greater degree than any other diagnostic imaging modality. The potential for misdiagnosis is significant, and the only acceptable way to undertake diagnostic ultrasound is:

1. After appropriate training – practical as well as theoretical
2. Preferably following independent assessment by a qualified ultrasound practitioner (as not everyone can reach the desired standard)
3. By continuing to develop your knowledge and technique with regular relevant practice
4. By employing audit of your practice, preferably within a team setting, as prolonged isolated practice is more likely to result in poor standards of diagnosis.

Importantly, the introduction of smaller, cheaper machines (increasingly hand-held) while improving accessibility to a valuable diagnostic technique on the one hand, has opened it up to potential abuse by untrained users on the other hand. This has focused attention on more rigorous quality control measures, and on the need for comprehensive practical training and competency assessment to supplement theoretical 'courses'.

TECHNIQUE

Ultrasound is devolving rapidly from radiology departments to other clinical environments, such as surgeries and clinics. The potential for ultrasound to be carried out by untrained personnel is therefore increasing. A lack of understanding by some has led to theoretical courses being considered as 'training'. This is very far from the case, and possibly one of the most dangerous legacies of this rapidly developing type of service provision.

Whatever the limitations of your equipment, a comprehensive and properly executed technique is essential. This is not about taking pictures (unlike many other radiological imaging tests). It is about a comprehensive and confident evaluation of the organs (with representative images for audit and recording purposes). This can only be achieved with practical experience, closely supervised by qualified practitioners.

Knowing your own limitations, knowing when you have not been able to execute a satisfactory scan, and being able to request assistance from expert practitioners, is one of the most valuable lessons you will learn.

Although the dynamic nature of the scan is a huge advantage over other forms of imaging, the potential for misdiagnosis is significant. The skilled operator continuously adjusts his or her technique to obtain the maximum diagnostic information. In any abdominal ultrasound survey the operator assesses the limitations of the scan and the level of confidence with which pathology can be excluded or confirmed. The confidence limits help in determining the subsequent investigations and management of the patient.

It is important, too, to retain an open mind about the diagnosis when embarking on the scan; an operator who 'decides' the likely diagnosis on a clinical basis may sometimes be correct but, in trying to 'fit' the scan to match the symptoms, risks serious misdiagnosis.

IMAGE OPTIMIZATION

Misinterpretation of ultrasound images is a significant risk in ultrasound diagnosis. The skill of effective scanning lies in the operator's ability to maximize the diagnostic information available, and in being able to properly interpret the appearances. This is dependent on:

- Technical skill – knowing how to interrogate the organ(s) fully, and obtain the most useful and relevant images
- Knowledge of how the sound interacts with tissue – recognizing and being able to avoid artefacts and the pitfalls of scanning
- Clinical knowledge – knowing what to look for and why, knowing how to interpret the appearances on the image and an understanding of physiological and pathological processes
- Knowledge of the equipment being used, i.e. making the most of your machine (Box 1.1).

There are numerous ways in which different manufacturers allow us to make compromises during the scanning process in order to maximize image quality and enhance diagnostic information.

BOX 1.1 Making the most of your equipment

1. Use the highest frequency possible – try increasing the frequency when examining the pancreas or anterior gallbladder
2. Use the lowest frame rate and highest line density possible. Restless or breathless patients will require a higher frame rate
3. Use the smallest field practicable – sections through the liver require a relatively wide sector angle and a large depth of view, but when examining the common duct, for example, the field can be greatly reduced, thereby improving the resolution with no loss of frame rate
4. Use the focal zone at relevant correct depth
5. Use tissue harmonic imaging to increase the signal to noise ratio and reduce artefact
6. Try different processing curves to highlight subtle abnormalities and increase contrast resolution

The diagnostic quality of the image can be improved by:

- Increasing the frequency – at the expense of poorer penetration (Fig. 1.1)
- Increasing the line density – this may be achieved by reducing the frame rate and/ or reducing the sector angle and/or depth of field (Fig. 1.2)
- Using the focal zones correctly – focus at the level under investigation, or use multiple focal zones at the expense of a decreased frame rate (Fig. 1.3)
- Using different pre- and post-processing options, which may highlight particular areas (Fig. 1.4)
- Using tissue harmonics to reduce artefact (Fig. 1.5). This technique uses the second harmonic frequency using pulse inversion.¹ This results in a higher signal to noise ratio, which demonstrates particular benefits in many difficult scanning situations, including obese or gassy abdomens.

The bottom line is, it is far better to have a scan performed properly on a low-tech piece of equipment by a knowledgeable and well-trained operator than to have a poorly performed scan on the latest high-tech machine (Fig. 1.6). A good opera-

tor will get the best out of even the lowliest scanning device and produce a result that will promote the correct patient management. A misleading result from a top-of-the-range scanner can be highly damaging and at best, delay the correct treatment or at worst promote incorrect management.

The operator should know the limitations of the scan in terms of equipment capabilities, operator skills, clinical problems and patient limitations, take those limitations into account and communicate them where necessary.

THE USE OF DOPPLER

Many pathological processes in the abdomen affect the haemodynamics of relevant organs and the judicious use of Doppler is an essential part of the diagnostic procedure. This is discussed in more detail in subsequent chapters.

Colour Doppler is used to assess the patency and direction of flow of vessels in the abdomen, to establish the vascularity of masses or lesions and to identify vascular disturbances such as stenoses. Flow information is colour coded (usually red towards and blue away from the transducer) and superimposed on the image. This gives the operator an immediate impression of a vascular 'map' of the area (Fig. 1.7). This Doppler information is obtained simultaneously, often from a relatively large area of the image, at the expense of the grey-scale image quality. The extra time taken to obtain the Doppler information for each line results in a reduction in frame rate and line density, which worsens as the colour Doppler area is enlarged. It is advisable, therefore, to use a compact colour 'box' to maintain image quality.

Power Doppler also superimposes Doppler information on the grey-scale image, but without any directional information. It displays only the amount of energy (Fig. 1.8). It has the advantage of a stronger signal, allowing identification of smaller vessels with lower velocity flow than colour Doppler. As it is less angle-dependent than colour Doppler it is particularly useful for vessels which run perpendicular to the beam – such as the inferior vena cava (IVC).

Pulsed Doppler uses pulses of Doppler from individual elements or small groups of elements within the array. This allows the operator to select




Fig. 1.1 • The effect of changing frequency. (A) At 2.7 MHz the wires are poorly resolved and the background 'texture' of the test object looks coarse. (B) The same transducer is switched to a resonant frequency of 5.1 MHz. Without changing any other settings, the six wires are now resolved and the background texture appears finer. (C) A small nodule in the anterior portion of the left lobe of liver demonstrated with a 5.0 MHz transducer. (D) Using 7.5 MHz, the nodule in (C) has improved detail, and a further small nodule (calipers B), not seen on the lower frequency, is detected near the anterior surface.




Fig. 1.2 • The effect of line density. (A) 76 frames per second (FPS). (B) 36 FPS – the resulting higher line density improves the image, making it sharper.




Fig. 1.2, cont'd • (C) The gallbladder is displayed with a low line density, as the scanning area is large. (D) By reducing the field of view, the line density is increased, clarifying the small stone in the gallbladder.





Fig. 1.3 • The effect of focal zone placement. (A) With the focal zone in the near field, structures in the far field are poorly resolved. (B) Correct focal zone placement improves both axial and lateral resolution of the wires. (C) The focal zone incorrectly set in the near field (arrowhead) makes it difficult to demonstrate small gallstones. (D) With the focal zone correctly set (arrowhead), the stones are resolved with a clear, diagnostic band of posterior shadowing.




Fig. 1.4 • The effect of using post-processing options. (A) A small nodule in a cirrhotic liver merges into the background and is difficult to detect. (B) A post-processing option that allocates the range of grey shades in a non-linear manner enhances contrast resolution and improves the lesion's conspicuity.




Fig. 1.5 • The effect of tissue harmonic imaging: the left image shows a liver containing cysts. The right image has tissue harmonic imaging applied, which reduces artefact and clarifies the structures.




Fig. 1.6 • The importance of using correct equipment settings: (A) Incorrect use of equipment settings makes it difficult to appreciate the structures in the right kidney. (B) By increasing the resonant frequency, decreasing the frame rate (increasing line density) and adjusting the focal zone, structures in the kidney are clarified.




Fig. 1.7 • Colour Doppler of the hepatic vein confluence. Flow is coloured blue to indicate a direction away from the transducer.




Fig. 1.8 • Power Doppler of the hepatic vein confluence. Directional information is lost, but power Doppler can be superior to colour in demonstrating low velocity flow.

a specific vessel, which has been identified on the grey-scale or colour Doppler image, from which to obtain a spectrum. This gives further information regarding the flow envelope, variance, velocity and downstream resistance of the blood flow (Fig. 1.9).

GETTING THE BEST OUT OF DOPPLER

Familiarity with Doppler controls is essential in order to avoid the pitfalls and increase confidence in the results. It is relatively straightforward to demonstrate flow in major vessels and to assess the relevant spectral waveform; most problems arise when trying to diagnose the *lack* of flow in a suspected thrombosed vessel, and in displaying low velocity flow in difficult-to-access vessels.

Doppler is known to produce false positive results for vessel occlusion (Fig. 1.10) and the operator must avoid the pitfalls. It is essential that the Doppler settings are sensitive enough to detect the velocity of flow in the vessel (Box 1.2). This means that the angle of insonation to the direction





Fig. 1.9 • Flow velocity waveforms. (A) Low resistance flow towards the transducer from a normal hepatic artery. Good end diastolic flow throughout the cycle with a 'filled in' waveform indicating variance in flow. (B) In contrast, this hepatic vein trace with flow away from the transducer is triphasic, with a clear 'envelope' consistent with less variance. The pulsatile nature of the flow incorporates brief flow towards the transducer (arrows) at the end of each cycle.

of flow must be as close to 0° as possible (i.e. the vessel must be flowing towards or away from the beam, not perpendicular to it), the pulse repetition frequency (PRF) must be set to detect slow flow and the Doppler gain must be turned up sufficiently.

It is also possible to make mistakes if the settings are *too* sensitive, i.e. non-occlusive thrombus can be masked by too much colour flow, and a very low PRF can result in aliasing – giving a confusing picture if the operator is unaware (Fig. 1.10).




Fig. 1.10 • (Ai) The portal vein appears to have no flow when it lies at 90° to the beam – a possible misinterpretation for thrombosis. (Aii) When scanned intercostally, the vein is almost parallel to the beam and flow is easily demonstrated. (B) Too high a PRF results in the false appearances of no flow in the left image. Reducing the PRF demonstrates flow in the right portal vein (RPV) on the right image.




Fig. 1.10, cont'd • (Ci) This portal vein looks blue due to a low PRF and aliasing, which could be mistaken for reversed flow. (Cii) Reducing the PRF displays normal hepatopetal flow in red.

BOX 1.2 Steps to take if you can't detect flow with colour Doppler

1. Ensure the angle of insonation between the vessel and the transducer is $<60^\circ$. Colour and pulsed Doppler are highly angle dependent.
2. Ensure the Doppler gain is set at the correct level (colour and pulsed Doppler gain settings should be just below background noise level)
3. Ensure the Doppler power/output setting is sufficient
4. Ensure the PRF is set correctly. A low PRF ('range' or 'scale' setting) is required to pick up low velocity flow
5. Ensure the wall thump filter setting is low (if the setting is too high, real low velocity flow is filtered out)
6. Use power Doppler, which is more sensitive and is not angle dependent
7. Reduce the field of view and enlarge or zoom the vessel in question to give yourself the best chance of seeing and sampling flow from small vessels
8. Know the limitations of your machine. Machines differ in their ability to detect low velocity flow
9. If in doubt, test it on a reference vessel you know should contain flow

ADDITIONAL IMAGING MODES

Tissue harmonic imaging

Tissue harmonic imaging processes the harmonic frequency (usually twice the fundamental, transmitted frequency) by using pulse inversion. The reflected beam consists of the fundamental (transmitted) frequency together with diminishing amounts of harmonic frequencies. Using the harmonic has the effect of reducing artefact, improving spatial resolution and consequently the conspicuity of structures.^{1,2} Different manufacturers have different ways of employing this mode, and therefore the results can differ from machine to machine. In some cases the dynamic range may be reduced, or the penetration impaired, so it is useful to be familiar with both fundamental and harmonic modes on your machine to get the best from the examination.

Compound imaging

There are two types of ultrasound compound imaging. The first, spatial compound imaging, insonates the tissues from several different angles. Theoretically, this enables the beam to be perpendicular to the various reflective surfaces for a greater proportion of the image, thus improving the definition around lesions and reducing artefact due to edge attenuation. It has been shown to improve

- [read online The Young Unicorns \(Austin Family, Book 3\) pdf](#)
- [download online We Are Everywhere: The Irresistible Rise of Global Anti-Capitalism book](#)
- [read The Fast Metabolism Diet: Eat More Food and Lose More Weight](#)
- [read online The Great Cat Massacre: A History of Britain in 100 Mistakes](#)
- **[read online Fire Your Boss](#)**
- [Ginger Pye pdf, azw \(kindle\), epub](#)

- <http://www.khoi.dk/?books/The-Inscrutable-Americans.pdf>
- <http://crackingscience.org/?library/We-Are-Everywhere--The-Irresistible-Rise-of-Global-Anti-Capitalism.pdf>
- <http://honareavalmusic.com/?books/The-Fast-Metabolism-Diet--Eat-More-Food-and-Lose-More-Weight.pdf>
- <http://omarnajmi.com/library/The-Poetry-of-Saying--British-Poetry-and-its-Discontents--1950-2000.pdf>
- <http://interactmg.com/ebooks/The-Book-of-Jezebel--An-Illustrated-Encyclopedia-of-Lady-Things.pdf>
- <http://www.freightunlocked.co.uk/lib/Ginger-Pye.pdf>