

Brave New World Revisited

Aldous Huxley

CONTENTS

-	٦.				
(1	7	₹7	Δ	r

About the Book

About the Author

Also by Aldous Huxley

Title page

Introduction

Foreword

- 1. Overpopulation
- 2. Quantity, Quality, Morality
- 3. Over-organization
- 4. Propaganda in a Democratic Society
- 5. Propaganda under a Dictatorship
- 6. The Arts of Selling
- 7. Brainwashing
- 8. Chemical Persuasion
- 9. Subconscious Persuasion
- 10. Hypnopaedia
- 11. Education for Freedom
- 12 What Can Be Done?

Copyright

About the Book

WITH AN INTRODUCTION BY DAVID BRADSHAW

In his 1932 classic dystopian novel, *Brave New World*, Aldous Huxley depicted a future society thrall to science and regulated by sophisticated methods of social control. Nearly thirty years later *Brave New World Revisited*, Huxley checked the progress of his prophecies against reality and argue that many of his fictional fantasies had grown uncomfortably close to the truth. *Brave New Wor Revisited* includes Huxley's views on overpopulation, propaganda, advertising and governme control, and is an urgent and powerful appeal for the defence of individualism still alarmingly relevatoday.

About the Author

Aldous Huxley was born on 26 July 1894 near Godalming, Surrey. He began writing poetry and sho stories in his early twenties, but it was his first novel *Crome Yellow* (1921), which established has literary reputation. This was swiftly followed by *Antic Hay* (1923), *Those Barren Leaves* (1925) at *Point Counter Point* (1928) — bright, brilliant satires of contemporary society. For most of the 1926 Huxley lived in Italy but in the 1930s he moved to Sanary, near Toulon.

In the years leading up to the Second World War, Huxley's work took on a more sombre tone is response to the confusion of a society which he felt to be spinning dangerously out of control. He great novels of ideas, including his most famous work *Brave New World* (published in 1932 the warned against the dehumanising aspects of scientific and material 'progress') and the pacifist now *Eyeless in Gaza* (1936) were accompanied by a series of wise and brilliant essays, collected in volume form under such titles as *Music at Night* (1931) and *Ends and Means* (1937).

In 1937, at the height of his fame, Huxley left Europe to live in California, working for a time as screenwriter in Hollywood. As the West braced itself for war, Huxley came increasingly to believe that the key to solving the world's problems lay in changing the individual through mystic enlightenment. The exploration of the inner life through mysticism and hallucinogenic drugs was dominate his work for the rest of his life. His beliefs found expression in both fiction (*Time Mu Have a Stop*, 1944 and *Island*, 1962) and non-fiction (*The Perennial Philosophy*, 1945, *Gr Eminence*, 1941 and the famous account of his first mescalin experience, *The Doors of Perceptio* 1954).

Huxley died in California on 22 November 1963.

ALSO BY ALDOUS HUXLEY

Novels

Crome Yellow
Antic Hay
Those Barren Leaves
Point Counter Point
Brave New World
Eyeless in Gaza
After Many a Summer
Time Must Have a Stop
Ape and Essence
The Genius and the Goddess
Island

Short Stories

Limbo
Mortal Coils
Little Mexican
Two or Three Graces
Brief Candles
The Gioconda Smile (Collected Short Stories)

Biography

Grey Eminence
The Devils of Loudun

Travel

Along the Road Jesting Pilate Beyond the Mexique Bay

Poetry and Drama

The Burning Wheel
Jonah
The Defeat of Youth
Leda
Verses and a Comedy
The Gioconda Smile

Essays and Belles Lettres

On the Margin **Proper Studies** Do What You Will Music at Night Texts and Pretexts The Olive Tree **Ends and Means** The Art of Seeing The Perennial Philosophy Science, Liberty and Peace Themes and Variations The Doors of Perception Adonis and the Alphabet Heaven and Hell Literature and Science The Human Situation Moksha

For Children

The Crows of Pearblossom

Brave New World Revisited

With an Introduction by David Bradshaw

Aldous Huxley

VINTAGE BOOKS London

Aldous Huxley (1894–1963)

ON 26 JULY 1894, near Godalming in Surrey, Aldous Leonard Huxley was born into a family which has only recently become synonymous with the intellectual aristocracy. Huxley's grandfather, Thomas Henry Huxley, had earned notoriety as 'Darwin's bulldog' and fame as a populariser of science, just his own probing and controversial works were destined to outrage and exhilarate readers and no readers alike in the following century. Aldous Huxley's mother was a niece of the poet and essayi Matthew Arnold, and he was a nephew of the redoubtable Mrs Humphry Ward, doyenne of late Victorian novelists. This inheritance, combining the scientific and the literary in a blend which was become characteristic of his vision as a writer, was both a source of great pride and a burden Huxley in his formative years. Much was expected of him.

Three traumatic events left their mark on the young Huxley. In 1908 his mother died of cancer, are this led to the effective break-up of the family home. Two years later, while a schoolboy at Eto Huxley contracted an eye infection which made him almost completely blind for a time and severe impaired his vision for the rest of his life. The suicide of his brother Trevenen in August 1914 robbed Huxley of the person to whom he felt closest. Over twenty years later, in *Eyeless in Gaza* (1936 Huxley's treatment of the death of the main character's mother and his embodiment of 'Trev' in the novel as the vulnerable Brian Foxe give some indication of the indelible pain which these trage occurrences left in their wake. To a considerable degree, they account for the darkness, pungency are cynicism which feature so prominently in Huxley's work throughout the inter-war period.

Within months of achieving a First in English Language and Literature at Balliol College, Oxford 1916, Huxley published *The Burning Wheel*. Huxley's first collection of verse, and the three which followed it, *Jonah* (1917), *The Defeat of Youth* (1918) and *Leda* (1920), reveal his indebtedness French symbolism and *fin de siècle* aestheticism. Also discernible, however, beneath the poetry triste and ironic patina, is a concern with the inward world of the spirit which anticipates Huxley later absorption in mysticism. These volumes of poetry were the first of over fifty separate works fiction, drama, verse, criticism, biography, travel and speculative writing which Huxley was produce during the course of his life.

Unfit for military service, Huxley worked as a farm labourer at Lady Ottoline Morrell's Garsingto Manor after he left Oxford. Here he met not only D.H. Lawrence, Bertrand Russell, Clive Bell, Margertler and other Bloomsbury figures, but also a Belgian refugee, Maria Nys, whom he married 1919. By then Huxley was working for the *Athenaeum* magazine under the adroit editorship Middleton Murry. Soon after he became the first British editor of *House and Garden*, worked for *Vogue* and contributed musical criticism to the *Weekly Westminster Gazette* in the early 1920s.

Limbo (1920), a collection of short stories, preceded the appearance of *Crome Yellow* in 1921, the novel with which Huxley first made his name as a writer. Inspired by, among others, Thomas Lov Peacock, Norman Douglas and Anatole France, Huxley's first novel incorporated many incidents from his sojourn at Garsington as well as mischievous portraits of its chatelaine and his fellow guests. Mos blatantly still, *Crome Yellow* is an iconoclastic tilt at the Victorian and Edwardian mores which has resulted in the First World War and its terrible aftermath. For all its comic bravura, which we acclaim from writers such as Scott Fitzgerald and Max Beerbohm, *Crome Yellow* may be read, alon with Lytton Strachey's *Eminent Victorians* (1918) and Huxley's second novel *Antic Hay* (1923), as expression of the pervasive mood of disenchantment in the early 1920s. Huxley told his father the

Antic Hay was 'written by a member of what I may call the war-generation for others of his kind'. I went on to say that it was intended to reflect 'the life and opinions of an age which has seen the violent disruption of almost all the standards, conventions and values current in the previous epoch'.

Even as a schoolboy Huxley had been an avid browser among the volumes of the *Encylopaed Britannica*, and it did not take long for him to acquire a reputation for arcane eclecticism. Moreover as his prestige as a debunker and an emancipator grew, so Huxley was condemned more roundly be critics of the old guard, such as James Douglas of the *Daily Express*, who denounced the explicit discussion of sex and free thought in his fiction. *Antic Hay* was burned in Cairo, and in the ensuit years many of Huxley's books were censured, censored or banned at one time or another. Conversel it was the openness, wit, effortless learning and apparent insouciance of Huxley's early work which proved such an appetising concoction for novelists as diverse as Evelyn Waugh, William Faulkness Anthony Powell and Barbara Pym. Angus Wilson called Huxley 'the god of my adolescence'.

From 1923 onwards Huxley lived abroad more or less permanently, first near Florence and the between 1930 and 1937, at Sanary on the Côte d'Azur. In *Along the Road* (1925), subtitled 'Notes at Essays of a Tourist', Huxley offered a lively and engaging account of the places and works of art l

had taken in since his arrival in Italy, and both the title story of his third collection of tales, *Litt Mexican* (1924), and his third novel, *Those Barren Leaves* (1925), are set in that country. According Huxley, the theme of *Those Barren Leaves* is 'the undercutting of everything by a sort of despairing scepticism and then the undercutting of that by mysticism'. For W.B. Yeats, *Those Barren Leave* heralded the return of philosophy to the English novel, but it was with his fourth novel, *Point Count Point* (1928), that Huxley cemented his reputation with the reading public as a thought-provoking writer of fiction. *Point Counter Point* is Huxley's first true 'novel of ideas', the type of fiction with which he has become most closely identified. He once explained that his aim as a novelist was 'arrive, technically, at a perfect fusion of the novel and the essay', arguing that the novel should be liked a holdall, bursting with opinion and arresting ideas. This privileging of content over form was one the many things he had in common with H.G. Wells; it was anathema to the likes of Virginia Wool Huxley was fascinated by the fact that 'the same person is simultaneously a mass of atoms, physiology, a mind, an object with a shape that can be painted, a cog in the economic machine, voter, a lover etc', and one of his key aims in *Point Counter Point* was to offer this multi-faceted view of his principal characters.

set himself unequivocally against what he regarded as the vulgarity and perversity of macivilisation. Between September 1925 and June 1926 Huxley had travelled via India to the Unite States, and it was this visit to America which made him so pessimistic about the cultural future Europe. He recounted his experiences in *Jesting Pilate* (1926). 'The thing which is happening America is a revaluation of values,' Huxley wrote, 'a radical alteration (for the worse) of established

Huxley's more sombre mood in the late 1920s was epitomised by *Proper Studies* (1927), the moimportant of the four volumes of essays he published during the decade, and the one in which he fin

standards', and it was soon after visiting the United States that Huxley conceived the idea of writing satire on what he had encountered. *Brave New World* (1932) may be read as Huxley's contribution the widespread fear of Americanisation which had been current in Europe since the mid-nineteen century, but this humorous, disturbing and curiously ambivalent novel offers much more that straightforward travesty. Similarly, although *Brave New World* has become, with Orwell's *Nineted*

Eighty-Four, one of the twin pillars of the anti-utopian tradition in literature and a byword for all the is most repellent and 'nightmarish' in the world to come, it was written with Huxley's gaze very much on the crisis-torn present of Britain in 1931. When placed alongside *Brief Candles* (1930), a well-

received collection of short stories, Music at Night (1931), a typically energetic and wide-ranging volume of essays, and *Texts and Pretexts* (1932), a verse anthology with commentaries designed show that even in the highly-charged political atmosphere of the early 1930s 'they also serve who on bother their heads about art', Huxley's polygonal appeal as a novelist, thinker and pundit is broug home. In 1934 he published Beyond the Mexique Bay, an account of his travels in the Caribbean and Central America, and in 1936, *Eyeless in Gaza*. Stimulated by his conversion to pacifism in Novemb 1935, Huxley's sixth novel imbricates the fears, foibles, prejudices and dissensions of the age with fictionalisation of his own history. A commitment to questions which are essentially religious, rathe than political or philosophical, is evident in Huxley's work for the first time.

When Huxley left Europe for the United States in April 1937 he was at the height of his fame as novelist and the Peace Pledge Union's leading celebrity. Ironically, he was by now far more concerned with the virtues of non-attachment, anarchism, decentralisation and mystical salvation than with the failings of contemporary society, the role of pacifism in national politics or the art of fiction. Huxley had been intent on exposing the meaninglessness of life in the 1920s, from the mid-1930s was preoccupied with seeking the meaning of existence. Ends and Means (1937), in which Huxle tried 'to relate the problems of domestic and international politics, of war and economics, education, religion and ethics, to a theory of the ultimate nature of reality', signalled his departure f the higher ground of mystical enlightenment where he would remain encamped for the rest of his life It was to lecture on the issues which dominate *Ends and Means* that Huxley and his friend and gu

Gerald Heard had travelled to the United States. Huxley had every intention of returning to Europ but his wife's need to live in a hot, dry climate on health grounds and the lucrative prospect of writing

for the movies contrived to keep the Huxleys in America until it was too unsafe to return. Huxley reaction to Hollywood and its cult of youth finds mordant expression in After Many a Summer (1939) the story of a Citizen Kane-like character's life of grandiose illusion. The materialist excesses of Stoyte are counterpointed by the ascetic convictions of Propter, a modern-day anchorite modelled of Heard. Huxley and Hollywood were not compatible, and his failure to write a popular play in the inte war years was mirrored in his largely unsuccessful efforts to write for the movies. Walt Disney widely reported rejection of Huxley's synopsis of Alice in Wonderland on the grounds that he 'cou only understand every third word' was symptomatic of Huxley's problem. His natural bent was for the leisurely and allusive development of an idea; above all else the movie moguls demanded pace dialogue. His disenchantment with the world of the film studios is evident in the opening pages of A and Essence (1948), Huxley's ghastly and graphic projection of Los Angeles as a ruinous, sprawling

ossuary in the aftermath of the atomic Third World War. While the threat of global nuclear conflic has receded for the present, Huxley's discussion of the rapid deforestation, pollution and other acts ecological 'imbecility' which preceded the self-inflicted apocalypse he describes in the novel, is st

Huxley spent most of the war years in a small house at Llano in the Mojave Desert in Southe California. In 1926 he had dismissed meditation as 'the doze's first cousin', but it was to a life quietistic contemplation that Huxley now devoted himself. This phase of his career resulted in the excellent Grey Eminence (1941), a biography of Father Joseph, adviser to Cardinal Richelieu; Tir

chillingly topical.

Must Have a Stop (1944), a novel set in Florence in 1929 in which, to borrow Huxley's words, 'a piece of the Comédie Humaine . . . modulates into a version of the Divina Commedia'; and The Perenni Philosophy (1945), a profoundly influential anthology of excerpts and commentaries illustrating wh

Huxley called 'the highest common factor of all the higher religions'. He went on to say with typic humour and humility, 'The greatest merit of the book is that about forty per cent of it is not by me, b by a lot of saints, many of whom were also men of genius.' *The Devils of Loudun*, a compelling psychological study of sexual hysteria in seventeenth-century France, which was subsequently turned into a successful film, appeared in 1952. In the same way that Huxley's astringent social satir caught the mood of the 1920s, so, in the years during and following the Second World War and the enormity of the Jewish Holocaust, his personal concern with spiritual and ethical matters and he consternation at the accelerating arms race, reflected both the tone and unease of the zeitgeist. Huxley also acquired new readers through his support of the marginal and unconventional, and he

detractors, hitherto exercised by what they saw as his immorality or preachiness, began to pour sco on his alleged faddism. In 1942 he published *The Art of Seeing*, a passionate defence of the Bat method of eye training which aroused a storm of protest from the optometrist lobby. Even mo outrageous, for many, was his suggestion in *The Doors of Perception* (1954) and its sequel, *Heave and Hell* (1956), that mescalin and lysergic acid were 'drugs of unique distinction' which should be exploited for the 'supernaturally brilliant' visionary experiences they offered to those with open minds and sound livers. *The Doors of Perception* is indeed a bewitching account of the inner shange la of the mescalin taker, where 'there is neither work nor monotony' but only 'a perpetual prese made up of one continually changing apocalypse', where 'the divine source of all existence' is evide in a vase of flowers, and even the creases in a pair of trousers reveal 'a labyrinth of endless significant complexity'. Not surprisingly, *The Doors of Perception* became a set text for the begeneration and the psychedelic Sixties, the Doors naming their band after the book which also earned Huxley a place on the sleeve of the Beatles' *Sergeant Pepper* album.

Maria Huxley died in February 1955, shortly before Huxley published his penultimate novel, *Tourius and the Goddess*, in which John Rivers recounts the brief history of his disastro involvement, when he was a 'virgin prig of twenty-eight', with the wife of his colleague Hen Maartens, a Nobel Prize-winning scientist. Not for the first time, Huxley's theme is the havoc which ensues when a man with an idealistic misconception of life born of a cloistered and emotional deprived upbringing experiences the full, sensual impact of human passion.

Huxley married Laura Archera, a practising psychotherapist, in March 1956. Two years later here.

published *Brave New World Revisted*, in which he surveyed contemporary society in the light of he earlier predictions. Huxley's knack of keying in to the anxieties of the moment was as sharp as ever and this touch is also evident in a series of lectures on 'The Human Situation' which he gave at San Barbara in 1959, published in one volume in 1977. Both books address problems which are no lepressing today, such as overpopulation, the recrudescence of nationalism and the fragility of the natural world. Huxley's last novel, *Island*, was published in 1962, the year in which he was made Companion of Literature, and the year after his Los Angeles home and most of his personal effect had been destroyed in a fire which, Huxley said, left him 'a man without possessions and without past'. *Island* is the story of how the offshore utopia of Pala, where population growth has been stabilise

yoga of love and *moksha*, an hallucinogenic toadstool, ensure that the Palanese have little reason feel disgruntled, falls victim to the age-old menaces of material progress and territorial expansionism *Island* is perhaps Huxley's most pessimistic book, his poignant acknowledgement that in a world increasing greed, mass communication, oil-guzzling transport, burgeoning population and inveteral hostility, a pacific and co-operative community like Pala's 'oasis of freedom and happiness' has little hope of survival. Soon after *Island* was published Huxley commented that the 'weakness of the bocconsists in a disbalance between fable and exposition. The story has too much weight, in the way

and Mutual Adoption Clubs have superseded the tyranny of the family, and where *maithuna*, or the

ideas and reflections, to carry.' But, while some readers would agree with this criticism, for othe *Island* exemplifies Huxley's particular contribution to twentieth-century letters. In his early days the highbrow incarnate and a reluctant lecturer for the Peace Pledge Union, Huxley became for many companionable polymath, a transatlantic sage at large, whose unending quest for synthesis at meaning in an ever-more perplexing and violent world provided a paradigm for their own search for peace and understanding.

Before his eyesight was damaged, Huxley's ambition was to specialise in the sciences, and it significant that in his last published work, *Literature and Science* (1963), he pleads yet again for *rapprochement* between the two cultures, arguing passionately against the contemporary stress their dichotomy. The book begins by emphasising the wide-ranging erudition of T.H. Huxley are Matthew Arnold. Their descendant, one of the most stimulating and provocative writers of the twentieth century, proved himself a worthy inheritor of their abilities over the course of his long are varied career.

Huxley died of cancer at his home in Hollywood on 22 November 1963, unaware that President J. Kennedy had been assassinated earlier that afternoon in Dallas. In 1971 his ashes were returned England and interred in his parents' grave at Compton in Surrey.

David Bradsha Worcester College, Oxfo

FOREWORD

THE SOUL OF wit may become the very body of untruth. However elegant and memorable, brevity conever, in the nature of things, do justice to all the facts of a complex situation. On such a theme of can be brief only by omission and simplification. Omission and simplification help us to understand but help us, in many cases, to understand the wrong thing; for our comprehension may be only of the abbreviator's neatly formulated notions, not of the vast, ramifying reality from which these notion have been so arbitrarily abstracted.

But life is short and information endless: nobody has time for everything. In practice we a generally forced to choose between an unduly brief exposition and no exposition at all. Abbreviation is a necessary evil and the abbreviator's business is to make the best of a job which, though intrinsically bad, is still better than nothing. He must learn to simplify, but not to the point falsification. He must learn to concentrate upon the essentials of a situation, but without ignoring to many of reality's qualifying side-issues. In this way he may be able to tell not indeed the whole true (for the whole truth about almost any important subject is incompatible with brevity), be considerably more than the dangerous quarter-truths and half-truths which have always been the current coin of thought.

The subject of freedom and its enemies is enormous, and what I have written is certainly too sho to do it full justice; but at least I have touched on many aspects of the problem. Each aspect may have been somewhat over-simplified in the exposition; but these successive over-simplifications add up a picture that, I hope, gives some hint of the vastness and complexity of the original.

Omitted from the picture (not as being unimportant, but merely for convenience and because I had discussed them on earlier occasions) are the mechanical and military enemies of freedom - the weapons and gadgets which have so powerfully strengthened the hands of the world's rulers again their subjects, and the ever more ruinously costly preparations for ever more senseless and suicide wars. The chapters that follow should be read against a background of thoughts about the Hungarian uprising and its repression, about the H-bombs, about the cost of what every nation refers to 'defence', about those endless columns of uniformed boys, white, black, brown, yellow, marchinobediently towards the common grave.

OVERPOPULATION

IN 1931, WHEN *Brave New World* was being written, I was convinced that there was still plenty of time the completely organized society, the scientific caste system, the abolition of free will by methodic conditioning, the servitude made acceptable by regular doses of chemically induced happiness, the orthodoxies drummed in by nightly courses of sleep-teaching – these things were coming all right, be not in my time, not even in the time of my grandchildren. I forget the exact date of the events recorded in *Brave New World*; but it was somewhere in the sixth or seventh century A.F. (after Ford). We where living in the second quarter of the twentieth century A.D. were the inhabitants, admittedly, of gruesome kind of universe; but the nightmare of those depression years was radically different from the nightmare of the future, described in *Brave New World*. Ours was a nightmare of too little order theirs, in the seventh century A.F., of too much. In the process of passing from one extreme to the other, there would be a long interval, so I imagined, during which the more fortunate third of the human race would make the best of both worlds – the disorderly world of liberalism and the much to orderly Brave New World where perfect efficiency left no room for freedom or personal initiative.

Twenty-seven years later, in this third quarter of the twentieth century A.D., and long before the er of the first century A.F., I feel a good deal less optimistic than I did when I was writing *Brave New World*. The prophecies made in 1931 are coming true much sooner than I thought they would. The blessed interval between too little order and the nightmare of too much has not begun and shows a sign of beginning. In the West, it is true, individual men and women still enjoy a large measure of freedom. But even in those countries that have a tradition of democratic government, this freedom are even the desire for this freedom seems to be on the wane. In the rest of the world freedom findividuals has already gone, or is manifestly about to go. The nightmare of total organization, which I had situated in the seventh century after Ford, has emerged from the safe, remote future and is not awaiting us, just around the next corner.

George Orwell's 1984 was a magnified projection into the future of a present that contained Stalinism and an immediate past that had witnessed the flowering of Nazism. Brave New World we written before the rise of Hitler to supreme power in Germany and when the Russian tyrant had not you got into his stride. In 1931 systematic terrorism was not the obsessive contemporary fact which it has become in 1948, and the future dictatorship of my imaginary world was a good deal less brutal the future dictatorship so brilliantly portrayed by Orwell. In the context of 1948, 1984 seems dreadfully convincing. But tyrants, after all, are mortal and circumstances change. Recedevelopments in Russia, and recent advances in science and technology, have robbed Orwell's book some of its gruesome verisimilitude. A nuclear war will, of course, make nonsense of everybody predictions. But, assuming for the moment that the Great Powers can somehow refrain frodestroying us, we can say that it now looks as though the odds were more in favour of something like 1984.

In the light of what we have recently learned about animal behaviour in general, and humbehaviour in particular, it has become clear that control through the punishment of undesirable behaviour is less effective, in the long run, than control through the reinforcement of desirable behaviour by rewards, and that government through terror works on the whole less well that

government through the non-violent manipulation of the environment and of the thoughts and feelin of the individual men, women and children. Punishment temporarily puts a stop to undesirable behaviour, but does not permanently reduce the victim's tendency to indulge in it. Moreover, the psycho-physical by-products of punishment may be just as undesirable as the behaviour for which individual has been punished. Psycho-therapy is largely concerned with the debilitating or anti-soci consequences of past punishments.

The society described in *1984* is a society controlled almost exclusively by punishment and the fe of punishment. In the imaginary world of my own fables, punishment is infrequent and generally mil The nearly perfect control exercised by the government is achieved by systematic reinforcement desirable behaviour, by many kinds of nearly non-violent manipulation, both physical are psychological, and by genetic standardization. Babies in bottles and the centralized control reproduction are not perhaps impossible; but it is quite clear that for a long time to come we sharemain a viviparous species breeding at random. For practical purposes genetic standardization makes ruled out. Societies will continue to be controlled post-natally – by punishment, as in the past, at to an ever-increasing extent by the more effective methods of reward and scientific manipulation. In Russia the old-fashioned, *1984*-style dictatorship of Stalin has begun to give way to a more under the property of the property

to-date form of tyranny. In the upper levels of the Soviets' hierarchical society the reinforcement desirable behaviour has begun to replace the older methods of control through the punishment undesirable behaviour. Engineers and scientists, teachers and administrators, are handsomely paid f good work and so moderately taxed that they are under constant incentive to do better and so be mo highly rewarded. In certain areas they are at liberty to think and do more or less what they lik Punishment awaits them only when they stray beyond their prescribed limits into the realms ideology and politics. It is because they have been granted a measure of professional freedom the Russian teachers, scientists and technicians have achieved such remarkable successes. Those who limear the base of the Soviet pyramid enjoy none of the privileges accorded to the lucky or special gifted minority. Their wages are meagre and they pay, in the form of high prices, a disproportionate large share of the taxes. The area in which they can do as they please is extremely restricted, and the rulers control them more by punishment and the threat of punishment than through non-viole manipulation or the reinforcement of desirable behaviour by reward. The Soviet system combin elements of 1984 with elements that are prophetic of what went on among the higher castes in Bra New World.

the direction of the Brave New Worldian nightmare; and this impersonal pushing is being conscious accelerated by representatives of commercial and political organizations who have developed number of new techniques for manipulating, in the interests of some minority, the thoughts are feelings of the masses. The techniques of manipulation will be discussed in later chapters. For the moment let us confine our attentions to those impersonal forces which are now making the world extremely unsafe for democracy, so very inhospitable to individual freedom. What are these force And why has the nightmare which I had projected into the seventh century A.F., made so swift a advance in our direction? The answer to these questions must begin where the life of even the monthighly civilized society has its beginnings — on the level of biology.

Meanwhile impersonal forces over which we have almost no control seem to be pushing us all

On the first Christmas Day the population of our planet was about two hundred and fifty millions less than half the population of modern China. Sixteen centuries later, when the Pilgrim Fathe landed at Plymouth Rock, human numbers had climbed to a little more than five hundred millions. I

the time of the signing of the Declaration of Independence, world population had passed the seven hundred million mark. In 1931, when I was writing Brave New World, it stood at just under tw billions. Today, only twenty-seven years later, there are two thousand eight hundred million of u And tomorrow – what? Penicillin, DDT and clean water are cheap commodities, whose effects of public health are out of all proportion to their cost. Even the poorest government is rich enough provide its subjects with a substantial measure of death control. Birth control is a very differe matter. Death control is something which can be provided for a whole people by a few technician working in the pay of a benevolent government. Birth control depends on the cooperation of an enti people. It must be practised by countless individuals, from whom it demands more intelligence as will power than most of the world's teeming illiterates possess, and (where chemical or mechanic methods of contraception are used) an expenditure of more money than most of these millions can now afford. Moreover, there are nowhere any religious traditions in favour of unrestricted deat whereas religious and social traditions in favour of unrestricted reproduction are widespread. For a these reasons, death control is achieved very easily, birth control is achieved with great difficult Death rates have therefore fallen in recent years with startling suddenness. But birth rates have eith remained at their old high level or, if they have fallen, have fallen very little and at a very slow rate. consequence, human numbers are now increasing more rapidly than at any time in the history of the

rules of compound interest; and they also increase irregularly with every application, by technologically backward society, of the principles of Public Health. At the present time the annuincrease in the world population runs to about forty-three millions. This means that every four year mankind adds to its numbers the equivalent of the present population of the United States, every eig and a half years the equivalent of the present population of India. At the rate of increase prevailing between the birth of Christ and the death of Queen Elizabeth 1 it took sixteen centuries for the population of the earth to double. At the present rate it will double in less than half a century. And the fantastically rapid doubling of our numbers will be taking place on a planet whose most desirable as productive areas are already densely populated, whose soils are being eroded by the frantic efforts bad farmers to raise more food, and whose easily available mineral capital is being squandered with the reckless extravagance of a drunken sailor getting rid of his accumulated pay.

In the Brave New World of my fable, the problem of human numbers in their relation to nature

Moreover, the yearly increases are themselves increasing. They increase regularly, according to the

generation after generation. In the real contemporary world, the population problem has not be solved. On the contrary it is becoming graver and more formidable with every passing year. It against this grim biological background that all the political, economic, cultural and psychologic dramas of our time are being played out. As the twentieth century wears on, as the new billions a added to the existing billions (there will be more than five and a half billions of us by the time in granddaughter is fifty), this biological background will advance, ever more insistently, ever mo menacingly, towards the front and centre of the historical stage. The problem of rapidly increasing numbers in relation to natural resources, to social stability and to the well being of individuals — the is now the central problem of mankind; and it will remain the central problem certainly for another.

century, and perhaps for several centuries thereafter. A new age is supposed to have begun on Octobe 4th, 1957. But actually, in the present context, all our exuberant post-Sputnik talk is irrelevant are even nonsensical. So far as the masses of mankind are concerned, the coming time will not be the second context.

resources had been effectively solved. An optimum figure for world population had been calculate and numbers were maintained at this figure (a little under two billions, if I remember rightle

Space Age; it will be the Age of Overpopulation. We can parody the words of the old song and ask,

Will the space that you're so rich in Light a fire in the kitchen, Or the little god of space turn the spit, spit, spit?

rate of three per cent per annum.

The answer, it is obvious, is in the negative. A settlement on the moon may be of some militar advantage to the nation that does the settling. But it will do nothing whatever to make life mo tolerable, during the fifty years that it will take our present population to double, for the earth undernourished and proliferating billions. And even if, at some future date, emigration to Mars shou become feasible, even if any considerable number of men and women were desperate enough choose a new life under conditions comparable to those prevailing on a mountain twice as high Mount Everest, what difference would that make? In the course of the last four centuries quite number of people sailed from the Old World to the New. But neither their departure nor the returning flow of food and raw materials could solve the problems of the Old World. Similarly the shipping of few surplus humans to Mars (at a cost, for transportation and development, of several million dollars head) will do nothing to solve the problem of mounting population pressures on our own plane. Unsolved, that problem will render insoluble all our other problems. Worse still, it will creat conditions in which individual freedom and the social decencies of the democratic way of life we become impossible, almost unthinkable.

Not all dictatorships arise the same way. There are many roads to Brave New World; but perhaps the straightest and the broadest of them is the road we are travelling today, the road that leads through gigantic numbers and accelerating increases. Let us briefly review the reasons for this clocorrelation between too many people, too rapidly multiplying, and the formulation of authoritarian philosophies, the rise of totalitarian systems of government.

As large and increasing numbers press more heavily upon available resources, the econom position of the society undergoing this ordeal becomes ever more precarious. This is especially true those underdeveloped regions, where a sudden lowering of the death rate by means of DDT, penicill and clean water has not been accompanied by a corresponding fall in the birth rate. In parts of As and in most of Central and South America populations are increasing so fast that they will doub themselves in little more than twenty years. If the production of food and manufactured articles, houses, schools and teachers, could be increased at a greater rate than human numbers, it would possible to improve the wretched lot of those who live in these underdeveloped and overpopulate countries. But unfortunately these countries lack not merely agricultural machinery and an industri plant capable of turning out this machinery, but also the capital required to create such a plant. Capit is what is left over after the primary needs of a population have been satisfied. But the primary nee of most of the people in underdeveloped countries are never fully satisfied. At the end of each ye almost nothing is left over, and there is almost no capital available for creating the industrial ar agricultural plants, by means of which the people's needs might be satisfied. Moreover, there is, in a these underdeveloped countries, a serious shortage of the trained manpower without which a mode industrial and agricultural plant cannot be operated. The present educational facilities are inadequate so are the resources, financial and cultural, for improving the existing facilities as fast as the situation demands. Meanwhile the population of some of these underdeveloped countries is increasing at the

Their tragic situation is discussed in an important book, published in 1957 – *The Next Hundre Years*, by Professors Harrison Brown, James Bonner and John Weir of the California Institute

Technology. How is mankind coping with the problem of rapidly increasing numbers? Not versuccessfully. 'The evidence suggests rather strongly that in most underdeveloped countries the lot the average individual has worsened appreciably in the last half-century. People have become mo poorly fed. There are fewer available goods per person. And practically every attempt to improve the situation has been nullified by the relentless pressure of continued population growth.'

Whenever the economic life of a nation becomes precarious, the central government is forced

assume additional responsibilities for the general welfare. It must work out elaborate plans for dealing

with a critical situation; it must impose ever greater restrictions upon the activities of its subjects; an if, as is very likely, worsening economic conditions result in political unrest, or open rebellion, the central government must intervene to preserve public order and its own authority. More and mo power is thus concentrated in the hands of the executives and their bureaucratic managers. But the nature of power is such that even those who have not sought it, but have had it forced upon them, ten to acquire a taste for more. 'Lead us not into temptation,' we pray – and with good reason; for who human beings are tempted too enticingly or too long, they generally yield. A democratic constitution is a device for preventing the local rulers from yielding to those particularly dangerous temptation that arise when too much power is concentrated in too few hands. Such a constitution works pret well where, as in Britain or the United States, there is a traditional respect for constitution procedures. Where the republican or limited monarchical tradition is weak, the best of constitution will not prevent ambitious politicians from succumbing with glee and gusto to the temptations power. And in any country where numbers have begun to press heavily upon available resources, the temptations cannot fail to arise. Overpopulation leads to economic insecurity and social unrest. Unre and insecurity lead to more control by central governments and an increase of their power. In the absence of a constitutional tradition, this increased power will probably be exercised in a dictatori fashion. Even if Communism had never been invented, this would be likely to happen. B Communism has been invented. Given this fact, the probability of over-population leading through unrest to dictatorship becomes a virtual certainty. It is a pretty safe bet that, twenty years from no all the world's overpopulated and underdeveloped countries will be under some form of totalitaria rule – probably by the Communist Party.

countries of Europe? If the newly formed dictatorships were hostile to them, and if the normal flow raw materials from the underdeveloped countries were deliberately interrupted, the nations of the West would find themselves in a very bad way indeed. Their industrial system would break down, and the highly developed technology, which up till now has permitted them to sustain a population must greater than that which could be supported by locally available resources, would no longer protest them against the consequences of having too many people in too small a territory. If this should happen, the enormous powers forced by unfavourable conditions upon central governments may conto be used in the spirit of totalitarian dictatorship.

The United States is not at present an overpopulated country. If, however, the population continued to the spirit of totalitarian dictatorship.

How will this development affect the overpopulated, but highly industrialized and still democrat

to increase at the present rate (which is higher than that of India's increase, though happily a goodeal lower than the rate now current in Mexico or Guatemala), the problem of numbers in relation available resources might well become troublesome by the beginning of the twenty-first century. F the moment overpopulation is not a direct threat to the personal freedom of Americans. It remains however, an indirect threat, a menace at one remove. If overpopulation should drive the underdeveloped countries into totalitarianism, and if these new dictatorships should ally themselv with Russia, then the military position of the United States would become less secure and the

preparations for defence and retaliation would have to be intensified. But liberty, as we all known cannot flourish in a country that is permanently on a war footing, or even a near-war footing. Permanent crisis justifies permanent control of everybody and everything by the agencies of the central government. And permanent crisis is what we have to expect in a world in which overpopulation is producing a state of things in which dictatorship under Communist auspic becomes almost inevitable.

QUANTITY, QUALITY, MORALITY

IN THE BRAVE New World of my phantasy, eugenics and dysgenics were practised systematically. It one set of bottles biologically superior ova, fertilized by biologically superior sperm, were given the best possible pre-natal treatment and were finally decanted as Betas, Alphas and even Alpha Pluses. It another, much more numerous set of bottles, biologically inferior ova, fertilized by biologically inferior sperm, were subjected to the Bokanovsky Process (ninety-six identical twins out of a sing egg) and treated pre-natally with alcohol and other protein poisons. The creatures finally decanted were almost sub-human; but they were capable of performing unskilled work and, when proper conditioned, detensioned by free and frequent access to the opposite sex, constantly distracted by gratuitous entertainment and reinforced in their good behaviour patterns by daily doses of *soma*, could be counted on to give no trouble to their superiors.

In this second half of the twentieth century we do nothing systematic about our breeding; but in o random and unregulated way we are not only overpopulating our planet, we are also, it would seen making sure that these greater numbers shall be of biologically poorer quality. In the bad old da children with considerable, or even slight, hereditary defects rarely survived. Today, thanks sanitation, modern pharmacology and the social conscience, most of the children born with heredita defects reach maturity and multiply their kind. Under the conditions now prevailing, every advance medicine will tend to be offset by a corresponding advance in the survival rates of individuals curse by some genetic insufficiency. In spite of new wonder drugs and better treatment (indeed, in a certa sense, precisely because of these things), the physical health of the general population will show a improvement, and may even deteriorate. And along with a decline in average healthiness there may well go a decline in average intelligence. Indeed, some competent authorities are convinced that su a decline has already taken place and is continuing. 'Under conditions that are both soft as unregulated,' writes Dr W.H. Sheldon, 'our best stock tends to be out-bred by stock that is inferior it in every respect . . . It is the fashion in some academic circles to assure students that the alarm ov differential birth-rates is unfounded; that these problems are merely economic, or merely education or merely religious, or merely cultural or something of the sort. This is Pollyanna optimism Reproductive delinquency is biological and basic.' And he adds that 'nobody knows just how far the average IQ in this country (the USA) has declined since 1916, when Terman attempted to standardize the meaning of IQ 100'.

In an underdeveloped and overpopulated country, where four-fifths of the people get less than 200 calories a day and one-fifth enjoys an adequate diet, can democratic institutions arise spontaneously. Or if they should be imposed from outside or from above, can they possibly survive?

And now let us consider the case of the rich, industrialized and democratic society, in which, owing to the random but effective practice of dysgenics, IQ's and physical vigour are on the decline. For holong can such a society maintain its traditions of individual liberty and democratic government? Fif or a hundred years from now our children will learn the answer to this question.

Meanwhile we find ourselves confronted by a most disturbing moral problem. We know that the pursuit of good ends does not justify the employment of bad means. But what about those situation now of such frequent occurrence, in which good means have end results which turn out to be bad?

For example, we go to a tropical island and with the aid of DDT we stamp out malaria and, in two three years, save hundreds of thousands of lives. This is obviously good. But the hundreds thousands of human beings thus saved, and the millions whom they beget and bring to birth, cannot adequately clothed, housed, educated or even fed out of the island's available resources. Quick dea by malaria has been abolished; but life made miserable by undernourishment and overcrowding is not the rule and slow death by outright starvation threatens ever greater numbers.

And what about the congenitally insufficient organisms, whom our medicine and our social service now preserve so that they may propagate their kind? To help the unfortunate is obviously good. Be the wholesale transmission to our descendants of the results of unfavourable mutations, and the progressive contamination of the genetic pool from which the members of our species will have draw, are no less obviously bad. We are on the horns of an ethical dilemma, and to find the midd way will require all our intelligence and all our good will.

OVER-ORGANIZATION

THE SHORTEST AND broadest road to the nightmare of Brave New World leads, as I have pointed ou through overpopulation and the accelerating increase of human numbers - twenty-eight hundred millions today, fifty-five hundred millions by the turn of the century, with most of humanity facing the choice between anarchy and totalitarian control. But the increasing pressure of numbers upon available resources is not the only force propelling us in the direction of totalitarianism. This blin biological enemy of freedom is allied with immensely powerful forces generated by the very advance in technology of which we are most proud. Justifiably proud, it may be added; for these advances a the fruits of genius and persistent hard work, of logic, imagination and self-denial – in a word, moral and intellectual virtues for which one can feel nothing but admiration. But the Nature of Thin is such that nobody in this world ever gets anything for nothing. These amazing and admirab advances have to be paid for. Indeed, like last year's washing machine, they are still being paid for and each instalment is higher than the last. Many historians, many sociologists and psychologists has written at length, and with deep concern, about the price that Western man has had to pay and will g on paying for technological progress. They point out, for example, that democracy can hardly expected to flourish in societies where political and economic power is being progressive concentrated and centralized. But the progress of technology has led and is still leading to just such concentration and centralization of power. As the machinery of mass production is made mo efficient it tends to become more complex and more expensive – and so less available to the enterpriser of limited means. Moreover, mass production cannot work without mass distribution; b mass distribution raises problems which only the largest producers can satisfactorily solve. In a wor of mass production and mass distribution the Little Man, with his inadequate stock of working capital is at a grave disadvantage. In competition with the Big Man, he loses his money and finally his ve existence as an independent producer; the Big Man has gobbled him up. As the Little Men disappea more and more economic power comes to be wielded by fewer and fewer people. Under a dictatorsh the Big Business, made possible by advancing technology and the consequent ruin of Little Business is controlled by the State – that is to say, by a small group of party leaders and the soldiers, policeme and civil servants who carry out their orders. In a capitalist democracy, such as the United States, it controlled by what Professor C. Wright Mills has called the Power Elite. This Power Elite direct employs several millions of the country's working force in its factories, offices and stores, contro many millions more by lending them the money to buy its products, and, through its ownership of the media of mass communication, influences the thoughts, the feelings and the actions of virtual everybody. To parody the words of Winston Churchill, never have so many been manipulated so much by so few. We are far indeed from Jefferson's ideal of a genuinely free society composed of hierarchy of self-governing units – 'the elementary republics of the wards, the county republics, the State republics and the Republic of the Union, forming a gradation of authorities.'

We see, then, that modern technology has led to the concentration of economic and political power and to the development of a society controlled (ruthlessly in the totalitarian states, politely as inconspicuously in the democracies) by Big Business and Big Government. But societies a composed of individuals and are good only in so far as they help individuals to realize the

potentialities and to lead a happy and fruitful life. How have individuals been affected by the technological advances of recent years? Here is the answer to this question given by a philosophe psychiatrist, Dr Erich Fromm:

'Our contemporary Western society, in spite of its material, intellectual and political progress, increasingly less conducive to mental health, and tends to undermine the inner security, happines reason and the capacity for love in the individual; it tends to turn him into an automaton who pays f his human failure with increasing mental sickness, and with despair hidden under a frantic drive f work and so-called pleasure.'

Our 'increasing mental sickness' may find expression in neurotic symptoms. These symptoms a conspicuous and extremely distressing. But 'let us beware', says Dr Fromm, 'of defining ment hygiene as the prevention of symptoms. Symptoms as such are not our enemy, but our friend; whe there are symptoms there is conflict, and conflict always indicates that the forces of life which stri for integration and happiness are still fighting.' The really hopeless victims of mental illness are to l found among those who appear to be most normal. 'Many of them are normal because they are so we adjusted to our mode of existence, because their human voice has been silenced so early in their live that they do not even struggle or suffer or develop symptoms as the neurotic does.' They are norm not in what may be called the absolute sense of the word; they are normal only in relation to profoundly abnormal society. Their perfect adjustment to that abnormal society is a measure of the mental sickness. These millions of abnormally normal people, living without fuss in a society which, if they were fully human beings, they ought not to be adjusted, still cherish 'the illusion individuality', but in fact they have been to a great extent de-individualized. Their conformity developing into something like uniformity. But 'uniformity and freedom are incompatible. Uniformi and mental health are incompatible too . . . Man is not made to be an automaton, and if he becom one, the basis for mental health is destroyed.'

In the course of evolution nature has gone to endless trouble to see that every individual is unlike every other individual. We reproduce our kind by bringing the father's genes into contact with the mother's. These hereditary factors may be combined in an almost infinite number of ways. Physical and mentally, each one of us is unique. Any culture which, in the interests of efficiency or in the name of some political or religious dogma, seeks to standardize the human individual, commits an outragainst man's biological nature.

Science may be defined as the reduction of multiplicity to unity. It seeks to explain the endless

diverse phenomena of nature by ignoring the uniqueness of particular events, concentrating on who they have in common and finally abstracting some kind of 'law', in terms of which they make sen and can be effectively dealt with. As examples, apples fall from the tree and the moon moves acro the sky. People had been observing these facts from time immemorial. With Gertrude Stein they we convinced that an apple is an apple is an apple, whereas the moon is the moon is the moon. remained for Isaac Newton to perceive what these very dissimilar phenomena had in common, and formulate a theory of gravitation in terms of which certain aspects of the behaviour of apples, of the heavenly bodies and indeed of everything else in the physical universe could be explained and deavith in terms of a single system of ideas. In the same spirit the artist takes the innumerable diversiti and uniquenesses of the outer world and his own imagination and gives them meaning within orderly system of plastic, literary or musical patterns. The wish to impose order upon confusion, bring harmony out of dissonance and unity out of multiplicity, is a kind of intellectual instinct, primary and fundamental urge of the mind. Within the realms of science, art and philosophy the workings of what I may call this 'Will to Order' are mainly beneficent. True, the Will to Order has

produced many premature syntheses based upon insufficient evidence, many absurd systems metaphysics and theology, much pedantic mistaking of notions for realities, of symbols are abstractions for the data of immediate experience. But these errors, however regrettable, do not of much harm, at any rate directly – though it sometimes happens that bad philosophical systems may harm indirectly, by being used as justification for senseless and inhuman actions. It is in the soci sphere, in the realm of politics and economics, that the Will of Order becomes really dangerous.

Here the theoretical reduction of unmanageable multiplicity to comprehensible unity becomes the practical reduction of human diversity to subhuman uniformity, of freedom to servitude. In politic the equivalent of a fully developed scientific theory or philosophical system is a totalitaric dictatorship. In economics, the equivalent of a beautifully composed work of art is the smooth running factory in which the workers are perfectly adjusted to the machines. The Will to Order of make tyrants out of those who merely aspire to clear up a mess. The beauty of tidiness is used as justification for despotism.

Organization is indispensable; for liberty arises and has meaning only within a self-regulation community of freely co-operating individuals. But, though indispensable, organization can also fatal. Too much organization transforms men and women into automata, suffocates the creative spin and abolishes the very possibility of freedom. As usual, the only safe course is in the middle, between the extremes of *laissez-faire* at one end of the scale and of total control at the other.

During the past century the successive advances in technology have been accompanied to corresponding advances in organization. Complicated machinery has had to be matched to complicated social arrangements, designed to work as smoothly and efficiently as the new instrument of production. In order to fit into these organizations, individuals have had to de-individualis themselves, have had to deny their native diversity and conform to a standard pattern, have had to dehier best to become automata.

The dehumanizing effects of over-organization are reinforced by the dehumanizing effects

overpopulation. Industry, as it expands, draws an ever greater proportion of humanity's increasing numbers into large cities. But life in large cities is not conducive to mental health (the higher incidence of schizophrenia, we are told, occurs among the swarming inhabitants of industrial slums nor does it foster the kind of responsible freedom within small self-governing groups, which is the first condition of a genuine democracy. City life is anonymous and, as it were, abstract. People at related to one another, not as total personalities, but as the embodiments of economic functions of when they are not at work, as irresponsible seekers of entertainment. Subjected to this kind of life

individuals tend to feel lonely and insignificant. Their existence ceases to have any point or meaning Biologically speaking, man is a moderately gregarious, not a completely social animal – a creatu

more like a wolf, let us say, or an elephant, than like a bee or an ant. In their original form huma societies bore no resemblance to the hive or the ant heap; they were merely packs. Civilization among other things, the process by which primitive packs are transformed into an analogue, crude at mechanical, of the social insects' organic communities. At the present time the pressures overpopulation and technological changes are accelerating this process. The termitary has come seem a realizable and even, in some eyes, a desirable ideal. Needless to say, the ideal will never in fabe realized. A great gulf separates the social insects from the not too gregarious, big-brained mamma and even though the mammal should do his best to imitate the insect, the gulf would remain. However,

process of trying to create an organism they will merely create a totalitarian despotism.

Brave New World presents a fanciful and somewhat ribald picture of a society, in which the attempt

hard they try, men cannot create a social organism, they can only create an organization. In the

sample content of Brave New World Revisited

- download QI: The Pocket Book of General Ignorance pdf, azw (kindle), epub, doc, mobi
- Art History: Contemporary Perspectives on Method here
- download Buddhism, Critical Concepts in Religious Studies, Volume 7
- click The Five Ancestors Book 7: Dragon
- http://serazard.com/lib/Althusser--The-Detour-of-Theory--2nd-Edition---Historical-Materialism-Book-Series--Volume-13-.pdf
- http://yachtwebsitedemo.com/books/Best-Friends.pdf
- http://twilightblogs.com/library/Buddhism--Critical-Concepts-in-Religious-Studies--Volume-7.pdf
- http://www.uverp.it/library/Lost-In-Italy.pdf